arXiv:1701.07907v1 [math.SP] 27 Jan 2017

WEYL ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAE AND SOBOLEV SPACES FOR INFINITE ORDER PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ, BOJAN PRANGOSKI, AND JASSON VINDAS

Abstract. We study spectral properties of a class of global infinite order pseudo-differential operators. We obtain formulae concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the spectral counting functions of such operators. Unlike their finite order counterparts, their spectral asymptotics are not of power-log-type but of log-type. The ultradistributional setting of such operators of infinite order makes the theory more complex so that the standard finite order global Weyl calculus cannot be used in this context. As an application of the developed spectral analysis, we introduce a new class of infinite order Shubin-Sobolev type spaces and derive regularity results for solutions to elliptic infinite order pseudo-differential equations.

1. Introduction

In this article we study the spectral properties of global infinite order pseudo-differential operators. Our operator classes are intrinsically related to the ultradistributional framework so that the bounds on the derivatives of the symbols are controlled by Gevrey type weight sequences. One of our aims is to establish Weyl asymptotic formulae for a large class of (hypoelliptic) ΨDOs of infinite order. It is worth mentioning that the Weyl asymptotics for the operators that we investigate here are not of power-log-type as in the finite order (distributional) setting, but of log-type, which in turn yields that the eigenvalues of infinite order ΨDOs, with appropriate assumptions, are "very sparse". As a by-product of our analysis, we also obtain Weyl asymptotic formulae for a class of finite order Shubin ΨDOs with some conditions on the symbols that are not the ones usually discussed in the literature. Moreover, via an additional analysis of a class of elliptic infinite order symbols, we introduce and study infinite order Shubin-Sobolev type spaces describing regularity of solutions to elliptic pseudo-differential equations in the infinite order context.

The spaces of symbols and corresponding pseudo-differential operators involved in this work were introduced by Prangoski in his thesis (see [22] for the symbolic calculus) and then extensively studied in several articles by himself and his coauthors; we refer to works of Cappiello [2, 3] for similar symbol classes related to SG-hyperbolic problems of finite order. The definition of these symbols classes is linked to two Gevrey type weight sequences A_p and M_p, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The first one controls the smoothness, while the second one controls the growth at infinity of the symbols. These symbol classes are denoted by $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ and $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$. The first one gives rise to operators acting continuously on Gelfand-Shilov type spaces of Beurling class (i.e. of (M_p)-class) and the second one on Gelfand-Shilov type spaces of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P20, 35S05, 46F05, 46E35, 47D03.

Key words and phrases. Ultradistributions, infinite order pseudo-differential operators, Weyl asymptotic formula, infinite order Sobolev spaces, hypoellipticity, heat parametrix.

The work of J. Vindas was supported by Ghent University, through the BOF-grant 01N01014.

Roumieu type (of $\{M_p\}$-class); we will employ $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ as a common notation for both cases. Since the symbols are allowed to grow sub-exponentially, i.e. ultrapolynomially, the corresponding ΨDOs are of infinite order and they go beyond the classical Weyl-Hörmander calculus.

The starting key point of our investigations is the semi-boundedness of ΨDOs with positive hypoelliptic Weyl symbols in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$, which will be achieved with the aid of the Anti-Wick calculus developed in [20]. This result is interesting by itself because hypoellipticity in this setting allows the symbols to approach 0 sub-exponentially and thus generalises the familiar result for finite order operators. The precise estimates on the heat parametrix recently given in [21] will enable us to further study the heat semigroup associated to a hypoelliptic operator and extract asymptotic information from it. Since the large growth of infinite-order symbols forbids the use of the well-known methods for global finite order ΨDOs (see [16, 24]), this analysis requires various new techniques that are intrinsic to the infinite order context. The passage from asymptotics of the heat semigroup to Weyl formulae will be accomplished using ideas from the theory of regular variation [1, 14] and Tauberian tools. Even more, the presented method yields new interesting results for Shubin type ΨDOs of finite order.

In order to illustrate and motivate some of our results, we briefly discuss a particular but interesting prototypical class of infinite order pseudo-differential operators to which our results can be applied. Such operators arise as power series of a classical elliptic Shubin differential operator $a^w = a(x,D) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq m} a_{\alpha,\beta} x^\alpha D^\beta$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. It should be mentioned that in [10] the composition of a function and a pseudo-differential operator is treated in a completely different way in comparison with our approach. Formally, given a suitable entire function P (an ultrapolynomial with positive Taylor coefficients), we can try to associate two natural infinite order operators to a^w. The first instance is the operator $P(a^w)$, which might be defined as an unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ via functional calculus and results on eigenexpansion characterisations of Gelfand-Shilov spaces with respect to globally elliptic PDOs (cf. [8, 9, 25]). The second associated operator is given by the Weyl quantisation of the composition of P with the symbol a, let us denote it as $(P\circ a)^w$. A priori, it is not even clear whether they are pseudo-differential operators, but we will give sufficient conditions on the Taylor coefficients of P such that both $P(a^w)$ and $(P\circ a)^w$ give rise to infinite order pseudo-differential operators with hypoelliptic symbols in our classes $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$. Furthermore, under the same assumptions on P, we will find explicit Weyl asymptotic formulae for operators of the form $A_1 = P(a^w)+$ "smaller order perturbations" and $A_2 = (P\circ a)^w+$ "smaller order perturbations". For example, in the special case of the harmonic oscillator $H = a(x,D) = |x|^2 - \Delta$ and the entire function $P(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h\lambda)^n / n^{2sn}$ where the parameter $s > 1$, writing $N(\lambda)$ for the spectral counting function of an operator of above form A_1 or A_2, it will directly follow from our results that

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{e^{2ds}}{h^d s^{2ds} 2^{d(2s+1)} d!} (\ln \lambda)^{2ds}, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

We now discuss the content and organisation of the article in more detail. Section 2 gives some basic background material about Gelfand-Shilov type spaces of ultradifferentiable functions and ultradistributions $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In Subsections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 we collect and explain some useful properties of the symbol

classes $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ and the corresponding global pseudo-differential operators of infinite order $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)$, the τ-quantisation of the symbol a, acting on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the case $\tau = 1/2$ (the Weyl quantisation, denoted as $a^w = \mathrm{Op}_{1/2}(a)$), the symbolic calculus is realised through the ring structure of the spaces of asymptotic expansions $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ where the product corresponds to the composition of operators (i.e. the #-product; cf. [21, Section 3]). In Subsection 3.4 we employ our results on Anti-Wick quantisation [20] to derive the semi-boundedness of the Weyl quantisation of positive hypoelliptic infinite order symbols. As a consequence, for hypoelliptic real-valued a such that $|a(w)| \to \infty$ as $|w| \to \infty$, one obtains that the closure $\overline{A}$ of the unbounded operator A on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ generated by a^w is self-adjoint and has a spectrum given by a sequence of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$, each one with finite multiplicity. Moreover, the sequence λ_n tends to ∞ or $-\infty$, with eigenfunctions belonging to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and forming an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We state in Section 4 our main results concerning Weyl asymptotic formulae and we postpone their proofs to Section 7, after developing of the necessary machinery. We assume there that the symbol a satisfies elliptic type bounds with respect to rather general comparison function f that is positive, increasing and has suitable growth order. Theorem 4.1 gives the asymptotic behaviour of the spectral counting function $N(\lambda)$ for infinite order symbols, which corresponds to f being of actual ultrapolynomial growth (and thus f increases faster than any power function at ∞). Theorem 4.2 deals with the case of finite order Shubin type hypoelliptic symbols that satisfy elliptic bounds but with certain growth conditions on f that appear to be different from the ones treated in the literature (cf. [16, 24]). Theorem 4.4 provides an O-bound for $N(\lambda)$ by requiring only knowledge on a lower bound for the symbol. We present there also some illustrative examples.

Section 5 is devoted to the analysis of the concrete operators we have mentioned above as motivating examples, that is, operators related to the composition of an ultrapolynomial with classical elliptic Shubin differential operators or their symbols. Theorem 5.4 gives a quite new inside about our symbol classes $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ by providing a general method to construct infinite order ΨDOs out of Shubin elliptic polynomials. In the Theorem 5.6 we obtain the spectral asymptotics for perturbations of the operators considered in Theorem 5.4.

The heat kernel analysis needed for the proofs of the Weyl asymptotic formulae for the class of operators under consideration is given in Section 6. We consider a real-valued hypoelliptic symbol a in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ such that $a(w)/\ln|w| \to +\infty$ as $|w| \to \infty$. The main goal of this section is to study the semigroup $T(t)f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j}(f,\varphi_j)\varphi_j$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $t \geq 0$, with infinitesimal generator $-\overline{A}$ (the closure of $-a^w$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$) where λ_j and φ_j are the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of $\overline{A}$. The crucial result to be shown here is that $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, act continuously on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and they form a smooth family of operators on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The proofs of these facts are rather lengthy and we devote a whole subsection to them (Subsection 6.2). It is important to stress that the classical approach does not work here (cf. Remark 6.14; one of the main reasons is the lack, at this point, of Shubin-Sobolev spaces that fill in the gaps between the Gelfand-Shilov type spaces $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$), so we had to develop new techniques to solve the problems. Once we have these properties of the semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$, we prove that it is equal to the heat parametrix of a^w as constructed in [21] modulo a smooth family of ultra-smoothing operators and use

this to obtain the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(x,\xi)}dxd\xi + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{4}a(x,\xi)}}{\langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{2\rho}}dxd\xi\right),\ t\to 0^+.$$

This key asymptotic formula is the starting point for the proofs of our main theorems from Section 4 concerning Weyl asymptotic formulae; such proofs are the content of Section 7.

Section 8 is devoted to infinite order Shubin-Sobolev type spaces $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ (called in the sequel Sobolev spaces), where the order is measured by a function f that belongs to a certain class of "admissible" functions and that might grow ultra-polynomially. When $f(x,\xi)=\langle (x,\xi)\rangle^s$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, such spaces reduce to the classical Sobolev spaces $H^s_\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of order s, where Γ is the class of Shubin symbols. These spaces satisfy most of the familiar results that the classical finite order Sobolev spaces enjoy (independence on the choice of the generating operator, a priori estimates, duality, etc.); additionally, we investigate their connection to various spaces of Gelfand-Shilov type. Furthermore, we discuss the Fredholmness of a $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic ΨDO as a mapping from one of these Sobolev spaces into another one. As an application of these considerations, we conclude the article by discussing "ultra-regularity" properties of our Sobolev spaces.

2. Preliminaries

For $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$, we will use the notation $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}, D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1}\dots D_d^{\alpha_d}$, where $D_j^{\alpha_j} = i^{-\alpha_j}\partial^{\alpha_j}/\partial {x_j}^{\alpha_j}$.

Following Komatsu [11], we work with some of the standard conditions $(M.1)$, $(M.2)$, $(M.3)$, $(M.3)'$ and $(M.4)$ on sequences of positive numbers M_p, $p\in\mathbb{N}$, for which we always assume $M_0=1$. We only recall $(M.4)$:

$(M.4)$ $M_p^2/p!^2 \le (M_{p-1}/(p-1)!)\cdot(M_{p+1}/(p+1)!)$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.

Observe that $(M.4)$ amounts to ask that $M_p/p!$ satisfies $(M.1)$. We mention that if M_p satisfies $(M.3)$, then $(M.4)$ holds for an equivalent sequence to M_p [18, Prop. 1.1]. Note that the Gevrey sequence $M_p=p!^s$, $s>1$, satisfies all the conditions listed above. Given two weight sequences M_p and $\tilde{M}_p$, the notation $M_p\subset\tilde{M}_p$ means that there are $C,L>0$ such that $M_p\le CL^p\tilde{M}_p$, $\forall p\in\mathbb{N}$, while $M_p\prec\tilde{M}_p$ means that this inequality holds true for each $L>0$ and a corresponding $C=C_L>0$. For a multi-index $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$, M_α stands for $M_{|\alpha|}$, $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\dots+\alpha_d$. As usual ([11, Section 3]), we set $m_p=M_p/M_{p-1}$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, and if M_p satisfies $(M.1)$ and $M_p/C^p\to\infty$, for any $C>0$ (which obviously holds when M_p satisfies $(M.3)'$), its associated function is defined by $M(\rho)=\sup_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\ln_+\rho^p/M_p$, $\rho>0$. It is a non-negative, continuous, monotonically increasing function, vanishes for sufficiently small $\rho>0$, and increases more rapidly than $\ln\rho^n$ as $\rho\to\infty$, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$. When $M_p=p!^s$, with $s>0$, we have $M(\rho)\asymp\rho^{1/s}$.

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and K a regular compact subset of U. For $h>0$, $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\},h}(K)$ is the Banach space (abbreviated as (B)-space) of all (germs of) $\varphi\in C^\infty(U)$ that satisfy $\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\sup_{x\in K}|D^\alpha\varphi(x)|/(h^\alpha M_\alpha)<\infty$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\},h}_K$ denotes its subspace of all smooth functions supported by K. We define as locally convex spaces (abbreviated as l.c.s.) $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}(U)$, $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(U)$, $\mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}(U)$ and their strong duals, the corresponding spaces of ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type, cf. [11, 12, 13].

We denote by $\mathfrak{R}$ the set of all positive sequences which monotonically increase to infinity. There is a natural order on $\mathfrak{R}$ defined by $(r_p) \leq (k_p)$ if $r_p \leq k_p$, $\forall p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and with it $(\mathfrak{R}, \leq)$ becomes a directed set.

For $(r_p) \in \mathfrak{R}$, consider the sequence $N_0 = 1$, $N_p = M_p \prod_{j=1}^{p} r_j$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It is easy to check that this sequence satisfies $(M.1)$ and $(M.3)'$ when M_p does so and its associated function will be denoted by $N_{r_p}(\rho)$, i.e. $N_{r_p}(\rho) = \sup_{p\in\mathbb{N}} \ln_+ \rho^p/(M_p \prod_{j=1}^{p} r_j)$, $\rho > 0$. Note that for $(r_p) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $k > 0$ there is $\rho_0 > 0$ such that $N_{r_p}(\rho) \leq M(k\rho)$, for $\rho > \rho_0$.

A measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is said to have ultrapolynomial growth of class (M_p) (resp. of class $\{M_p\}$) if $\|e^{-M(h|\cdot|)} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty$ for some $h > 0$ (resp. for every $h > 0$). We have the following equivalent description of continuous functions of ultrapolynomial growth of class $\{M_p\}$.

Lemma 2.1. ([21, Lemma 1.1]) *Let $B \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The following conditions are equivalent: (i) For every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq Ce^{M(h|x|)}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in B$; (ii) There exist $(r_p) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $C > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq Ce^{N_{r_p}(|x|)}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f \in B$.*

We call an entire function $P(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} c_\alpha z^\alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^d$, an ultrapolynomial of class (M_p) (resp. of class $\{M_p\}$), whenever the coefficients c_α satisfy the estimate $|c_\alpha| \leq CL^{|\alpha|}/M_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, for some $L > 0$ and $C > 0$ (resp. for every $L > 0$ and some $C = C(L) > 0$). The corresponding operator $P(D) = \sum_\alpha c_\alpha D^\alpha$ is an ultradifferential operator of the class (M_p) (resp. of class $\{M_p\}$) and, when M_p satisfies $(M.2)$, it acts continuously on $\mathcal{E}^{(M_p)}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(M_p)}(U)$ (resp. on $\mathcal{E}^{\{M_p\}}(U)$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\{M_p\}}(U)$) and the corresponding ultradistribution spaces.

If M_p satisfies $(M.1)$ and $(M.3)'$, for $m > 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p,m}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the (B)-space of all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which the norm $\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} m^{|\alpha|}\|e^{M(m|\cdot|)} D^\alpha\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}/M_\alpha$ is finite. The spaces of sub-exponentially decreasing ultradifferentiable function of Beurling and Roumieu type are defined as

$$\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varprojlim_{m\to\infty} \mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p,m}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim_{m\to 0} \mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p,m}\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right),$$

respectively. Their strong duals $\mathcal{S}'^{(M_p)}$ and $\mathcal{S}'^{\{M_p\}}$ are the spaces of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type, respectively. When $M_p = p!^s$, $s > 1$, $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is just the Gelfand-Shilov space $\mathcal{S}_s^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ [16]. If M_p satisfies $(M.2)$, the ultradifferential operators of class $*$ act continuously on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$; these spaces are nuclear and the Fourier transform is a topological isomorphism on them. We refer to [6, 19] for the topological properties of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here we recall that, when M_p satisfies $(M.2)$, the space $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is topologically isomorphic to $\varprojlim_{(r_p)\in\mathfrak{R}} \mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p,(r_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where the projective limit is taken with respect to the natural order on $\mathfrak{R}$ defined above and $\mathcal{S}_\infty^{M_p,(r_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the (B)-space of all $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which the norm $\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \|e^{N_{r_p}(|\cdot|)} D^\alpha\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}/(M_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} r_j)$ is finite.

Next, let E and F be l.c.s.; $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ stands for the space of continuous linear mappings from E to F; when $E = F$, we write $\mathcal{L}(E)$. We employ the notation $\mathcal{L}_b(E, F)$ for the space $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ equipped with the topology of bounded convergence and, similarly, $\mathcal{L}_p(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(E, F)$ stand for $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ equipped with the topologies of precompact and simple convergence, respectively. Furthermore, $E \hookrightarrow F$ means that E is continuously and densely included in F. For $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

and $0 \leq k \leq \infty$, $C^k((a,b);E)$ stands for the vector space of k times continuously differentiable E-valued functions on (a,b), while $C^k([a,b);E)$ for the space of those on $[a,b)$, where the derivatives at a are to be understood as right derivatives; we use analogous notations when considering functions over $(a,b]$ or $[a,b]$.

3. ΨDOs of infinite order of Shubin type on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$

We discuss in this section properties of the classes of infinite order ΨDOs that we shall consider in the article. In Subsections 3.1 and 3.2 we collect some useful facts about their symbolic calculus and the sharp product; we refer to [22, 4] and [21, Sections 2 and 3] for a complete account. Subsection 3.3 deals with the L^2-realisations and the hypoellipticity. In Subsection 3.4 we prove the semi-boundedness of operators with positive hypoelliptic symbols.

3.1. **Symbol classes and symbolic calculus.** Let A_p and M_p be two weight sequences of positive numbers such that $A_0 = A_1 = M_0 = M_1 = 1$. We assume that M_p satisfies $(M.1)$, $(M.2)$ and $(M.3)$, and that A_p satisfies $(M.1)$, $(M.2)$, $(M.3)'$ and $(M.4)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the constants c_0 and H appearing in $(M.2)$ are the same for both sequences M_p and A_p. Moreover, we assume that $A_p \subset M_p$. Let $\rho_0 = \inf\{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ |\, A_p \subset M_p^\rho\}$; clearly $0 < \rho_0 \leq 1$. Throughout the rest of the article, ρ is a fixed number satisfying $\rho_0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, if the infimum is reached, or, otherwise $\rho_0 < \rho \leq 1$. Clearly, we may also assume that $A_p \leq c_0 L^p M_p^\rho$, where $c_0 \geq 1$ is the constant from $(M.2)$.

For $h, m > 0$, define $\Gamma^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h, m)$ to be the (B)-space of all $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for which the norm

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^d} \sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{\left|D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta a(x,\xi)\right| \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{\rho|\alpha|+\rho|\beta|} e^{-M(m|\xi|)} e^{-M(m|x|)}}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} A_\alpha A_\beta}.$$

is finite. As l.c.s., we define

$$\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; m) = \varprojlim_{h\to 0} \Gamma^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h, m); \quad \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \varinjlim_{m\to\infty} \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; m);$$

$$\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h) = \varprojlim_{m\to 0} \Gamma^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h, m); \quad \Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = \varinjlim_{h\to\infty} \Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h).$$

Then, $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; m)$ and $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h)$ are (F)-spaces. The spaces $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ are barrelled and bornological.

For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, the τ-quantisation of a is the operator $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)$, continuous on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by the iterated integral (first Fourier, then inverse Fourier transform):

$$\left(\mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)u\right)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a((1-\tau)x + \tau y, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi.$$

Proposition 3.1. ([21, Proposition 2.1]) *For each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the bilinear mapping $(a,\varphi) \mapsto \mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)\varphi$, $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is hypocontinuous and it extends to the hypocontinuous bilinear mapping $(a,T) \mapsto \mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)T$, $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \times \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The mappings $a \mapsto \mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)$, $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ are continuous.*

Let $t \geq 0$. We denote $Q_t = \left\{(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} |\, \langle x\rangle < t, \langle \xi\rangle < t\right\}$ and $Q_t^c = \mathbb{R}^{2d}\backslash Q_t$. If $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then $Q_t = \emptyset$ and $Q_t^c = \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Let $B \geq 0$ and $h, m > 0$. Denote by $FS^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h, m)$ the vector space of all formal series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(x,\xi)$ such that $a_j \in C^\infty(\operatorname{int} Q^c_{Bm_j})$, $D^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x a_j(x,\xi)$ can be extended to a continuous function on $Q^c_{Bm_j}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{\alpha,\beta} \sup_{(x,\xi)\in Q^c_{Bm_j}} \frac{\left|D^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x a_j(x,\xi)\right| \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{\rho|\alpha|+\rho|\beta|+2j\rho} e^{-M(m|\xi|)} e^{-M(m|x|)}}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_\alpha A_\beta A_j A_j} < \infty.$$

In the above, we use the convention $m_0 = 0$ and hence, $Q^c_{Bm_0} = \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. With this norm, $FS^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h, m\right)$ becomes a (B)-space. As l.c.s., we define

$$\begin{aligned}
FS^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, m) &= \varprojlim_{h\to 0} FS^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h, m),\\
FS^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B) &= \varinjlim_{m\to\infty} FS^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, m),\\
FS^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h) &= \varprojlim_{m\to 0} FS^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h, m),\\
FS^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B) &= \varinjlim_{h\to\infty} FS^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, the spaces $FS^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, m)$ and $FS^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h)$ are (F)-spaces and $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$ is barrelled and bornological. The inclusion mapping $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$, defined as $a \mapsto \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j$, where $a_0 = a$ and $a_j = 0$, $j \geq 1$, is continuous. We call this inclusion the canonical one. For $B_1 \leq B_2$, the mapping $\sum_j p_j \mapsto \sum_j p_{j|_{Q^c_{B_2 m_j}}}$, $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B_1) \to FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B_2)$ is continuous. We also call this mapping canonical.

Let $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = \varinjlim_{B\to\infty} FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$, where the inductive limit is taken in an algebraic sense and the linking mappings are the canonical ones described above. Clearly, $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is non-trivial.

If $\sum_j a_j \in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\sum_j a_j)_n$ will just mean the function $a_n \in C^\infty(Q^c_{Bm_n})$, while $(\sum_j a_j)_{<n}$ denotes the function $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \in C^\infty(Q^c_{Bm_{n-1}})$. Furthermore, $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the element $\sum_j a_j \in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$ given by $a_0(x,\xi) = 1$ and $a_j(x,\xi) = 0$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Definition 3.2. ([22, Definition 3]) Sums, $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j$, $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} b_j \in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, are said to be equivalent, in notation $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j \sim \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} b_j$, if there exist $m > 0$ and $B > 0$ (resp. there exist $h > 0$ and $B > 0$), such that for every $h > 0$ (resp. for every $m > 0$),

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \sup_{\alpha,\beta} \sup_{(x,\xi)\in Q^c_{Bm_n}} \frac{\left|D^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x \sum_{j<n} \left(a_j(x,\xi) - b_j(x,\xi)\right)\right| \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{\rho|\alpha|+\rho|\beta|+2n\rho}}{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2n} A_\alpha A_\beta A_n A_n} \cdot \\ \cdot e^{-M(m|\xi|)} e^{-M(m|x|)} < \infty.$$

In the sequel, we will often use the notation $w = (x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Let Λ be an index set and $\{f_\lambda |\, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a set of positive continuous functions

on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ each with ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$. We say that a set $U^{(\Lambda)} = \left\{\sum_j a_j^{(\lambda)} \big|\, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B')$ is subordinated to $\{f_\lambda |\, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, in notation $U^{(\Lambda)} \precsim \{f_\lambda |\, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, if the following estimate holds: there exists $B \geq B'$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}} \sup_{w\in Q^c_{Bm_j}} \frac{\left|D^\alpha_w a_j^{(\lambda)}(w)\right| \langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}}{h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} f_\lambda(w)} \leq C.$$

Whenever we want to emphasise that the estimate is valid for a particular $B \geq B'$, we write $U^{(\Lambda)} \precsim \{f_\lambda |\, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$. When $f_\lambda = f$, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$, we abbreviate the notation and simply write $U \precsim f$, and then say that U is subordinated to f. Clearly, for $U \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B_1)$ such that $U \precsim f$, there exists $B \geq B_1$ such that the image of U under the canonical mapping $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B_1) \to FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$ is a bounded subset of $FS^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, m)$ for some $m > 0$ (resp. a bounded subset of $FS^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B, h)$ for some $h > 0$).

Given $U \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B_1)$ with $U \precsim f$, we say that a bounded set V in $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; m)$ for some $m > 0$ (resp. in $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h)$ for some $h > 0$) is subordinated to U under f, in notations $V \precsim_f U$, if there exists a surjective mapping $\Sigma : U \to V$ such that the following estimate holds: there exists $B \geq B_1$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that for all $\sum_j a_j \in U$ and the corresponding $\Sigma(\sum_j a_j) = a \in V$

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}} \sup_{w\in Q^c_{Bm_n}} \frac{\left|D^\alpha_w \left(a(w) - \sum_{j<n} a_j(w)\right)\right| \langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2n)}}{h^{|\alpha|+2n} A_{|\alpha|+2n} f(w)} \leq C.$$

Again, when we want to emphasise the particular B for which this holds, we write $V \precsim_f U$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$. If $V \precsim_f U$ and if we denote by $\tilde{V}$ the image of V under the canonical inclusion $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; 0)$, $a \mapsto a + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_+} 0$, then by specialising the above estimate for $n = 1$ together with the boundedness of V in $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; m)$ for some $m > 0$ (resp. in $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; h)$ for some $h > 0$) and the continuity and positivity of f, we derive that $\tilde{V} \precsim f$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; 0)$. In such a case, we slightly abuse notation and write $V \precsim f$. This estimate also implies $\Sigma(\sum_j a_j) \sim \sum_j a_j$. To see that given such an $U \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$ there always exists $V \precsim_f U$, we can proceed as follows. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the (M_p) case and $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the $\{M_p\}$ case respectively, such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi(\xi) = 1$ when $\langle\xi\rangle \leq 2$ and $\psi(\xi) = 0$ when $\langle\xi\rangle \geq 3$. Set $\chi(x, \xi) = \psi(x)\psi(\xi)$, $\chi_{n,R}(w) = \chi(w/(Rm_n))$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $R > 0$ and put $\chi_{0,R}(w) = 0$. Given $U \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B)$ as above, for $\sum_j a_j \in U$ denote $R(\sum_j a_j)(w) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (1 - \chi_{j,R}(w))a_j(w)$. If $R > B$, this is a well defined smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, since the series is locally finite.

Proposition 3.3. ([21, Proposition 2.2]) *Let $U = \left\{\sum_j a_j^{(\lambda)} \big|\, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$ be a subset of $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; B')$ that is subordinated to $\{f_\lambda |\, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. There exists $R_0 > B'$ such that for each $R \geq R_0$, $U_R = \left\{R(\sum_j a_j^{(\lambda)}) \big|\, \lambda \in \Lambda\right\} \subseteq \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and the following estimate holds: there exists $B = B(R) \geq B'$ such that for every $h > 0$*

there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\sup_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}}\sup_{w\in Q^c_{Bm_n}}\frac{\left|D^\alpha_w\left(R(\sum_j a^{(\lambda)}_j)(w)-\sum_{j<n}a^{(\lambda)}_j(w)\right)\right|\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2n)}}{h^{|\alpha|+2n}A_{|\alpha|+2n}f_\lambda(w)}\leq C.$$

If in addition $f_\lambda = f$, $\forall\lambda\in\Lambda$, then U_R is bounded in $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};m)$ for some $m>0$ (resp. bounded in $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};h)$ for some $h>0$) and hence $U_R\precsim_f U$.

We say that this U_R is canonically obtained from U by $\{\chi_{n,R}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Of course, here the mapping $\Sigma: U\to U_R$ is just $\sum_j a_j\mapsto R(\sum_j a_j)$.

Proposition 3.4. ([21, Proposition 2.3]) *Let V be a bounded subset of $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};\tilde m)$ for some $\tilde m>0$ (resp. of $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};\tilde h)$ for some $\tilde h>0$). Assume that there exist $B,m>0$ such that for every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $B,h>0$ such that for every $m>0$ there exists $C>0$) such that*

$$\sup_{a\in V}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}}\sup_{w\in Q^c_{Bm_n}}\frac{|D^\alpha_w a(w)|\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2n)}}{h^{|\alpha|+2n}A_{|\alpha|+2n}e^{M(m|w|)}}\leq C.$$

Then, $\{\mathrm{Op}_\tau(a)|\, a\in U\}$ is an equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for each $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$.

In what follows, we will frequently use the term "$*$-regularising set" for a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Proposition 3.5. ([21, Proposition 2.4]) *Let $U_1\subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ be such that $U_1\precsim f$, for some continuous positive function f with ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$ and let $\tau,\tau_1\in\mathbb{R}$. For each $\sum_j a_j\in U_1$ and $j\in\mathbb{N}$, define*

$$p_{j,a}(x,\xi)=\sum_{k+|\beta|=j}\frac{(\tau_1-\tau)^{|\beta|}}{\beta!}\partial^\beta_\xi D^\beta_x a_k(x,\xi),\ (x,\xi)\in Q^c_{Bm_j}.$$

Then, $U=\left\{\sum_j p_{j,a}\big|\ \sum_j a_j\in U_1\right\}$ is a subset of $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ and $U\precsim f$. There exists $R>0$, which can be chosen arbitrarily large, such that

$$\left\{\mathrm{Op}_{\tau_1}(R(\textstyle\sum_j a_j))-\mathrm{Op}_\tau(R(\sum_j p_{j,a}))\big|\ \sum_j a_j\in U_1\right\}$$

is an equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, $\{R(\sum_j a_j)\big|\ \sum_j a_j\in U_1\}\precsim_f U_1$ and $\{R(\sum_j p_{j,a})|\ \sum_j a_j\in U_1\}\precsim_f U$.

3.2. **Weyl quantisation. Sharp product and ring structure of $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$.** We recall in this and the next subsection results from [21] about the Weyl quantisation of symbols; we often write a^w instead of $\mathrm{Op}_{1/2}(a)$.

For $\sum_j a_j,\sum_j b_j\in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ we define their sharp product, denoted as $\sum_j a_j\#\sum_j b_j$, via the formal series $\sum_j c_j=\sum_j a_j\#\sum_j b_j$ where

$$c_j(x,\xi)=\sum_{s+k+l=j}\sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=l}\frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha!\beta!2^l}\partial^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x a_s(x,\xi)\partial^\beta_\xi D^\alpha_x b_k(x,\xi),\ (x,\xi)\in Q^c_{Bm_j}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\sum_j c_j$ is a well defined element of $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$. If $a\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, then $a\#\sum_j b_j$ will denote the $\#$ product of the image of a under the

canonical inclusion $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ and $\sum_j b_j$. The same convention applies if $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ or if both $a, b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Remark 3.6. If $\sum_j a_j, \sum_j b_j \in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ and $\sum_j c_j = \sum_j a_j \# \sum_j b_j$, then $\sum_j \overline{c_j} = \sum_j \overline{b_j} \# \sum_j \overline{a_j}$. In particular, if a_j and b_j are real-valued for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_j a_j \# \sum_j b_j = \sum_j b_j \# \sum_j a_j$, then c_j are real-valued for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

As in the distributional setting, in the infinite order case the composition of two pseudo-differential operators is again a pseudo-differential operator with symbol in the same class, but there is always an additional $*$-regularising operator.

Theorem 3.7. ([21, Theorem 3.1]) *Let $U_1, U_2 \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ be such that $U_1 \precsim f_1$ and $U_2 \precsim f_2$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ for some continuous positive functions f_1 and f_2 with ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$. Then:*

i) $U_1 \# U_2 \precsim f_1 f_2$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$.

ii) Let $V_k \precsim_{f_k} U_k$, with $\Sigma_k : U_k \to V_k$ the surjective mapping, $k = 1, 2$. There exists $R > 0$, which can be chosen arbitrarily large, such that

$$\Big\{ \operatorname{Op}_{1/2}\Big(\Sigma_1(\textstyle\sum_j a_j)\Big) \operatorname{Op}_{1/2}\Big(\Sigma_2(\textstyle\sum_j b_j)\Big) - \operatorname{Op}_{1/2}\Big(R(\textstyle\sum_j a_j \# \sum_j b_j)\Big) \,\Big|\, \textstyle\sum_j a_j \in U_1,\ \sum_j b_j \in U_2 \Big\}$$

is an equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and

$$\Big\{ R(\textstyle\sum_j a_j \# \sum_j b_j) \,\Big|\, \sum_j a_j \in U_1,\ \sum_j b_j \in U_2 \Big\} \precsim_{f_1 f_2} U_1 \# U_2. \tag{3.1}$$

Corollary 3.8. ([21, Corollary 3.1]) *Let $U_1, U_2 \subseteq FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ with $U_1 \precsim f_1$ and $U_2 \precsim f_2$ for some continuous positive functions of ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$. For $\sum_j a_j \in U_1$ and $\sum_j b_j \in U_2$ denote $\sum_j c_{j,a,b} = \sum_j a_j \# \sum_j b_j \in U_1 \# U_2$. Then, there exists $R > 0$, which can be chosen arbitrarily large, such that*

$$\Big\{ a^w b^w - c^w \,\Big|\, a = R(\textstyle\sum_j a_j),\ b = R(\sum_j b_j),\ c = R(\sum_j c_{j,a,b}) \Big\}$$

is an equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and (3.1) *holds.*

Remark 3.9. Corollary 3.8 is applicable when U_1 and U_2 are bounded subsets of $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};m)$ for some $m > 0$ (resp. of $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};h)$ for some $h > 0$). In this case, the corollary reads: there exists $R > 0$, which can be chosen arbitrary large, such that $\{a^w b^w - \operatorname{Op}_{1/2}(R(a\#b)) \,|\, a \in U_1,\ b \in U_2\}$ is equicontinuous $*$-regularising set and $\{R(a\#b) \,|\, a \in U_1,\ b \in U_2\}$ is bounded in $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};m)$ for some $m > 0$ (resp. of $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};h)$ for some $h > 0$, cf. Lemma 2.1).

Proposition 3.10. ([21, Proposition 3.1]) *For each $B \geq 0$, $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ is a ring with the pointwise addition and multiplication given by $\#$. Moreover, the multiplication $\# : FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B) \times FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B) \to FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B)$ is hypocontinuous.*

3.3. **Realisations in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hypoelliptic operators of infinite order.** We now discuss hypoellipticity in the context of our symbol classes and L^2-realisations of the associated unbounded operators.

Lemma 3.11. ([21, Lemma 4.1]) *Let $V \subseteq \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Assume that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that*

$$|D^\alpha_w b(w)| \leq C h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha \langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|},\ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d},\ b \in V. \tag{3.2}$$

Then, for each $b \in V$, b^w extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the set $\{b^w |\, b \in V\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

If $\{b_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \subseteq V$ is a net that converges to $b_0 \in V$ in the topology of $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, then $b^w_\lambda \to b^w_0$ in $\mathcal{L}_p(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

Given $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, let us denote by A the unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with domain $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined as $A\varphi = a^w\varphi$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Considering a^w as a mapping on $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, its restriction to the subspace $\{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) |\, a^w g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ defines a closed extension of A which is called the maximal realisation of A. As standard, we denote by $\overline{A}$ the closure of A, also called the minimal realisation of A. Notice that the formal adjoint $(a^w)^*$ is in fact the pseudo-differential operator $\bar{a}^w$ and hence, it can be extended to a continuous operator on $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. One can also consider the adjoint A^* of A in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The following result gives the precise connection between A^* and $(a^w)^*$. Its proof is completely analogous to the one in the classical case for finite order pseudo-differential operators and we omit it (see for example [16, Proposition 4.2.1, p. 160]).

Proposition 3.12. *Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with A and A^* defined as above. Then A^* coincides with the maximal realisation of $(a^w)^*$, i.e. the domain of A^* is $D(A^*) = \{g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) |\, (a^w)^* g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and $A^* g = (a^w)^* g$, $\forall g \in D(A^*)$.*

We now introduce the notion of hypoellipticity in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$.

Definition 3.13. ([4, Definition 1.1]) Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We say that a is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-hypoelliptic (or, in short, simply hypoelliptic) if

i) there exists $B > 0$ such that there are $c, m > 0$ (resp. for every $m > 0$ there is $c > 0$) such that

$$|a(x,\xi)| \geq c e^{-M(m|x|)-M(m|\xi|)},\quad (x,\xi) \in Q^c_B, \tag{3.3}$$

ii) there exists $B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there is $C > 0$ (resp. there are $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\left|D^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x a(x,\xi)\right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}|a(x,\xi)| A_\alpha A_\beta}{\langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}},\ \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^d,\ (x,\xi) \in Q^c_B. \tag{3.4}$$

The importance of $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-hypoellipticity lies in the possibility to construct parametrices:

Proposition 3.14. ([21, Proposition 4.1]) *Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be hypoelliptic. Define $q_0(w) = a(w)^{-1}$ on Q^c_B and inductively, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$q_j(x,\xi) = -q_0(x,\xi) \sum_{s=1}^{j} \sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=s} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha!\beta! 2^s} \partial^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x q_{j-s}(x,\xi) \partial^\beta_\xi D^\alpha_x a(x,\xi),\ (x,\xi) \in Q^c_B.$$

Then, for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha_w q_j(w)| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{|a(w)| \langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}},\ w \in Q^c_B,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d},\ j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.5}$$

If $B \leq 1$, then $(\sum_j q_j)\#a = \mathbf{1}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0)$. If $B>1$, one can extend q_0 to an element of $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ by modifying it on $Q_{B'}\backslash Q^c_B$, for $B'>B$. In this case $\sum_j q_j \in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B')$, $((\sum_j q_j)\#a)_k = 0$ on $Q^c_{B'}$, $\forall k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, and $((\sum_j q_j)\#a)_0 - 1 = q_0 a - 1$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$).

In particular, for $q\sim\sum_j q_j$ there exists $*$-regularising operator T such that $q^w a^w = \mathrm{Id}+T$.

Remark 3.15. A similar construction yields $\tilde{q}\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $a^w\tilde{q}^w = \mathrm{Id}+\tilde{T}$ with $\tilde{T}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (see [21, Subsection 5.2.1] for more details). Knowing this, it is easy to prove that we can use the left parametrix q^w as a right one as well, i.e. there exists $T_1,T_2\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that $q^w a^w = \mathrm{Id}+T_1$ and $a^w q^w = \mathrm{Id}+T_2$.

Remark 3.16. For hypoelliptic $a\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we can construct a parametrix q out of $\sum_j q_j\in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};B')$ in a specific way. Namely, applying Corollary 3.8 to $(\sum_j q_j)\#a$ together with (3.5) and Proposition 3.3, we conclude the existence of $R>0$ and a $*$-regularising operator T such that $q^w a^w = \mathrm{Id}+T$, where $q = R(\sum_j q_j)\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ satisfies the following conditions: there exist $B''\geq B'$ and $c'',C''>0$ such that

$$c''/|a(w)| \leq |q(w)| \leq C''/|a(w)|,\ \forall w\in Q^c_{B''}, \tag{3.6}$$

and for every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $h,C>0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha_w q(w)| \leq C h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha |a(w)|^{-1}\langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|},\ w\in Q^c_{B''},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d},\ j\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{3.7}$$

In particular, q is hypoelliptic. This estimate leads to the following simple observation that will be needed later. Assume that a is hypoelliptic and $|a(w)|\to\infty$ as $|w|\to\infty$ and let q be the parametrix for a constructed above. Take $\psi\in\mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (resp. $\psi\in\mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$) such that $0\leq\psi\leq 1$, $\psi=1$ on a compact neighbourhood of $Q_{B''}$ and $\psi=0$ on the complement of a slightly larger neighbourhood. Then, for each $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, the function $b_n(w) = q(w)\psi(w/n)$ is in $\mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (resp. in $\mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$) and hence b^w_n is $*$-regularising for each $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. Employing the fact $|a(w)|\to\infty$ as $|w|\to\infty$ together with (3.7), one easily verifies that $b_n\to q$ in $\Gamma^0_\rho(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and hence $b^w_n\to q^w$ in $\mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (see [16, Theorem 1.7.14, p. 58]). As b^w_n, $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, are compact operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so is q^w.

Remark 3.17. Let $b\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be hypoelliptic and $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$. Applying Proposition 3.5, one can find a hypoelliptic $a\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $c_1|b(w)|\leq|a(w)|\leq c_2|b(w)|$, $\forall w\in Q^c_{B_1}$, for some $c_1,c_2,B_1>0$, and $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)-a^w$ is $*$-regularising. If we take the parametrix q of a constructed in Remark 3.16 and apply again Proposition 3.5 to q, we can find a hypoelliptic $\tilde{q}\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $c'_1/|b(w)|\leq|\tilde{q}(w)|\leq c'_2/|b(w)|$, $\forall w\in Q^c_{B'_1}$, for some $c'_1,c'_2,B'_1>0$, and $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q})\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)-\mathrm{Id}$ is $*$-regularising. Now, as a direct consequence of Remark 3.15, we also have $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q})-\mathrm{Id}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. This immediately yields that if $\tilde{q}_1\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is any other left τ-parametrix of b, i.e. $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q}_1)\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)-\mathrm{Id}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, then $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q}_1)-\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q})$ is $*$-regularising, which, in turn, yields that we can use $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q}_1)$ as a right parametrix as well.

Proposition 3.18. ([21, Proposition 4.2]) *Let a be hypoelliptic and A be the corresponding unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined above. Then the minimal realisation*

$\overline{A}$ coincides with the maximal realisation. Moreover, $\overline{A}$ coincides with the restriction of a^w on the domain of $\overline{A}$.

We have the following immediate consequence of Propositions 3.12 and 3.18.

Corollary 3.19. *Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a hypoelliptic real-valued symbol. Then its minimal (i.e. maximal) realisation $\overline{A}$ is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

3.4. **Semi-boundedness and the spectrum of operators with positive hypoelliptic Weyl symbols.** Before we can say anything meaningful about the spectrum of operators with hypoelliptic positive Weyl symbols, we need to prove that such operators are always semi-bounded. This is a well know fact for finite order symbols. We prove here that it remains true even in the infinite order case. In order to appreciate more this result, the reader should keep in mind the operators can be of truly infinite order, i.e. the symbols are allowed to have ultrapolynomial growth; such operators then go beyond the classical Weyl-Hörmander calculus.

Proposition 3.20. *Let $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be positive hypoelliptic symbol. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that $(b^w\varphi, \varphi) \geq -C\|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$, $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. The proof heavily relies on the connection between the Weyl and the anti-Wick quantisation of symbols from $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (see [20]). For $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, we denote by A_a its anti-Wick quantisation. By [20, Theorem 3.2], there exists $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and a $*$-regularising operator T such that $b^w = A_a + T$. By a careful inspection of the proof of the quoted result, one can find the explicit construction of a; it is given as follows. Start with $p'_{k,j} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by $p'_{0,0} = b$, $p'_{k,0} = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $p'_{k,j} = 0$ for all $0 \leq k < j$, and

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{k,j}(x,\xi) \;=\; & \sum_{\substack{l_1+\ldots+l_j=k \\ l_1\geq 1,\ldots,l_j\geq 1}} \sum_{|\alpha^{(1)}+\beta^{(1)}|=2l_1,\ldots,|\alpha^{(j)}+\beta^{(j)}|=2l_j} \frac{c_{\alpha^{(1)},\beta^{(1)}}\cdot\ldots\cdot c_{\alpha^{(j)},\beta^{(j)}}}{\alpha^{(1)}!\beta^{(1)}!\cdot\ldots\cdot\alpha^{(j)}!\beta^{(j)}!} \\ & \cdot\partial_\xi^{\alpha^{(1)}+\ldots+\alpha^{(j)}}\partial_x^{\beta^{(1)}+\ldots+\beta^{(j)}} b(x,\xi), \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \geq j$, where $c_{\alpha,\beta} = \pi^{-d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \eta^\alpha y^\beta e^{-|y|^2-|\eta|^2} dyd\eta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Since b is positive and hypoelliptic, the estimate (3.4) holds on the whole $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ for b. Repeating the proof of [20, Theorem 3.2] verbatim and using (3.4) for b (which, as we mentioned, is valid on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$), we obtain the following estimate: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$\left|D_w^\gamma p'_{k,j}(w)\right| \leq Ch^{|\gamma|+2k} A_{|\gamma|+2k} b(w)\langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\gamma|+2k)},$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $k, j \in \mathbb{N}$ (recall that $p'_{k,j} = 0$, for $0 \leq k < j$, $p'_{k,0} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $p'_{0,0} = b$). Now, $a \sim \sum_j (-1)^j b_j$ with $b_j = R(\sum_k p'_{k,j})$, where $R \geq 1$ can be chosen to be the same for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and the following estimate holds: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$|D_w^\gamma b_j(w)| \leq Ch^{|\gamma|+2j} A_{|\gamma|+2j} b(w)\langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\gamma|+2j)}, \tag{3.8}$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [20, Lemma 3.1] and its proof). Clearly $b_0 = p'_{0,0} = b$. In the (M_p) case, fix $0 < h' < 1$ and let $C' > 1$ be the constant for which (3.8) holds and in the $\{M_p\}$ case, let $h', C' > 1$ be the constants for which this estimate holds. If we take large enough R' such that $R'^\rho \geq 4c_0^2 HLC'$ in the (M_p) case

and $R'^{\rho} \geq 4c_0^2 h' HLC'$ in the $\{M_p\}$ case respectively, then $a' = R'(\sum_j (-1)^j b_j) \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is real-valued and $a' \sim a$, i.e. $a - a' \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (cf. Propositions 3.3 and 3.4). Moreover, since $1 - \chi_{j,R'} = 0$ on $Q_{R'm_j}$ and $m_j^{2j} \geq M_{2j}/(c_0 H^{2j})$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(1-\chi_{j,R'}(w))|b_j(w)| &\leq C'b(w)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(1-\chi_{j,R'}(w))h'^{2j}A_{2j}\langle w\rangle^{-2j\rho}\\ &\leq C'b(w)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}h'^{2j}A_{2j}R'^{-2j\rho}m_j^{-2j\rho}\\ &\leq c_0^2 C'b(w)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(h'HL/R'^{\rho})^{2j} \leq b(w)/3.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$a'(w) = b(w) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^j(1-\chi_{j,R'}(w))b_j(w) \geq 2b(w)/3 > 0, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

Hence $(A_{a'}\varphi, \varphi) \geq 0$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. [20, Proposition 3.4]). Observe that $A_{a'} = b^w + T'$, for some $*$-regularising operator T'. Since b is real-valued, $(b^w\varphi, \varphi) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, hence the same holds for T' too. So, we conclude $(b^w\varphi, \varphi) \geq -(T'\varphi, \varphi) \geq -\|T'\|_{\mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}\|\varphi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$. □

Using Corollary 3.19, Proposition 3.20 and Remark 3.16, we can prove the following spectral result in the same way as in the proof of [16, Theorem 4.2.9, p. 163] .

Proposition 3.21. *Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a hypoelliptic real-valued symbol such that $|a(w)| \to \infty$ as $|w| \to \infty$ and let A be the unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by a^w. Then the closure $\overline{A}$ of A is a self-adjoint operator having spectrum given by a sequence of real eigenvalues either diverging to $+\infty$ or to $-\infty$ according to the sign of a at infinity. The eigenvalues have finite multiplicities and the eigenfunctions belong to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenfunctions of $\overline{A}$.*

4. The Weyl asymptotic formula for infinite order ΨDOs. Part I: statements of the main results

This section is dedicated to Weyl asymptotic formulae for a large class of infinite order hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators. We state here our main results, their proofs are postponed to Section 7, after obtaining some auxiliary results on the spectrum of the heat parametrix of positive hypoelliptic symbols.

We consider throughout this section a real-valued hypoelliptic symbol $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $a(w) \to \infty$ as $|w| \to \infty$. If we denote as $\overline{A}$ the closure of the unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ induced by its Weyl quantisation a^w then we can apply Proposition 3.21 to obtain that the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator $\overline{A}$ is given by a sequence of real eigenvalues with finite multiplicities $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ which tends to ∞, where multiplicities are taken into account and the sequence is arranged in non-decreasing order $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_j \leq \ldots$. We denote the spectral

counting function of the operator $A = a^w$ as

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} 1 = \#\{j \in \mathbb{N} |\, \lambda_j \leq \lambda\}.$$

Our goal is to show later the following three theorems on spectral asymptotics. For these results, we will suppose that the symbol a satisfies certain asymptotic bounds with respect to a comparison function f, which we assume throughout the rest of this section to be positive, strictly increasing, of ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$ on some interval $[Y, \infty)$, for some $Y > 0$, and absolutely continuous on each compact subinterval of $[Y, \infty)$. Furthermore, we employ the notation

$$\sigma(\lambda) = (f^{-1}(\lambda))^{2d} \quad \text{for large } \lambda. \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ hypoelliptic, let f satisfy*

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} = \infty, \tag{4.2}$$

and let Φ be a positive continuous function on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}$. Suppose that for each $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ there are positive constants $c_\epsilon, C_\epsilon, B_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$c_\varepsilon f((1-\varepsilon) r\Phi(\vartheta)) \leq a(r\vartheta) \leq C_\varepsilon f((1+\varepsilon) r\Phi(\vartheta)), \tag{4.3}$$

for all $r \geq B_\varepsilon$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^{2d-1}$. Then,

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} = \frac{\pi}{(2\pi)^{d+1} d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d}}\,, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\lambda_j = f\left(\gamma j^{\frac{1}{2d}}(1+o(1))\right), \quad j \to \infty, \tag{4.5}$$

with $\gamma = \sqrt{2\pi} \cdot (2d/\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} (\Phi(\vartheta))^{-2d} d\vartheta)^{\frac{1}{2d}}$, and, for each $h' < \gamma < h$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{f(h' j^{\frac{1}{2d}})} = \infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_j}{f(h j^{\frac{1}{2d}})} = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that Theorem 4.1 deals with operators which are truly of infinite order because integration of (4.2) gives that $\langle w\rangle^\beta = o(a(w))$ for any $\beta > 0$.

The next theorem gives the Weyl asymptotic formula for a wider class of finite order pseudo-differential operators than the one that is usually discussed in the literature, see e.g. [16, Sect. 4.6]; in particular, our result is more general than [16, Theorem 4.6.1, p. 196] (see Example 4.8 below). The reader should also compare this with [24, Theorem 30.1, p. 224]; we work with different assumptions than in the quoted result and, on the other hand, we give a more explicit result concerning the asymptotic behaviour of $N(\lambda)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $a \in \Gamma^m_\rho(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be hypoelliptic (in the Γ^m_ρ-sense). Suppose that*

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} = \beta \in (0,\infty) \tag{4.7}$$

exists. If

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{a(r\vartheta)}{f(r)} = \Phi(\vartheta) > 0 \tag{4.8}$$

exists uniformly on $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^{2d-1}$*, then*

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} = \frac{\pi}{(2\pi)^{d+1}d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}} \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\lambda_j \sim \left(\frac{\pi}{(2\pi)^{d+1}d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}} \right)^{-\frac{\beta}{2d}} f(j^{\frac{1}{2d}}), \quad j \to \infty. \tag{4.10}$$

We will derive the following "geometric" version of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 where the asymptotic behaviour of N is given in terms of the symbol.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that the symbol* a *satisfies either the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 or those of Theorem 4.2. Then,*

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{a(w)<\lambda} dw, \quad \lambda \to \infty. \tag{4.11}$$

If one is only interested in upper O-estimates on N, the next theorem gives such bounds under much weaker assumptions on the symbol.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ *be hypoelliptic such that*

$$Cf(|w|) \leq a(w) \quad \textit{for all } |w| \geq B, \tag{4.12}$$

for some $C, B > 0$*. If* f *satisfies*

$$0 < \beta' = \liminf_{y\to\infty} \frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)}, \tag{4.13}$$

then,

$$\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} \leq \frac{e}{2^d d!} \left(1 + \frac{\Gamma(1+2d/\beta')}{C^{2d/\beta'}} \right) \tag{4.14}$$

and for each $0 < h < \sqrt{2}C^{1/\beta'} e^{-1/(2d)} d!^{1/(2d)} (C^{2d/\beta'} + \Gamma(1+2d/\beta'))^{-1/(2d)}$

$$\lambda_j \geq f(hj^{\frac{1}{2d}}), \quad j \geq j_h. \tag{4.15}$$

Furthermore, if f *satisfies*

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} = \beta' \in (0, \infty], \tag{4.16}$$

then,

$$\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{N(\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(1+2d/\beta')\, e}{2^d C^{2d/\beta'} d!} \quad \left(= \frac{e}{2^d d!} \quad \textit{if } \beta' = \infty \right) \tag{4.17}$$

and the bound (4.15) holds for each $0 < h < \sqrt{2}C^{1/\beta'} d!^{1/(2d)} (e\,\Gamma(1+2d/\beta'))^{-1/(2d)}$ *(*$= \sqrt{2}(d!/e)^{1/(2d)}$ *if* $\beta' = \infty$*).*

Remark 4.5. If $\limsup_{y\to\infty} yf'(y)/f(y) < \infty$, Theorem 4.4 is also valid for $a \in \Gamma^m_\rho(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ that is Γ^m_ρ-hypoelliptic and satisfies (4.12), as the proof given in Section 7 shows. Here we get that λ_j is bounded from below by a constant multiple of $f(j^{\frac{1}{2d}})$ for $\lambda_j > 0$. In particular, this case applies to $f(y) = y^{\beta'}$, where we obtain $N(\lambda) = O(\lambda^{2d/\beta'})$ and $\lambda_j \geq h^{\beta'} j^{\beta'/(2d)}$, $j \geq j_h$, with the constants as in Theorem 4.4 (see also Example 4.8).

The rest of this section is devoted to some illustrative examples. The asymptotic formulae from Examples 4.6 and 4.7 prove a result that one might expect: the eigenvalues of a truly infinite order operator are "very sparse". We provide more non-trivial examples in the next section via the analysis of infinite order hypoelliptic operators that arise as power series of classical elliptic Shubin differential operators.

Example 4.6. If $f(y) = e^{(hy)^{1/s}}$ where $s > 1$, then $\sigma(\lambda) \sim h^{-2d}(\ln \lambda)^{2ds}$ and, when $\Phi(\vartheta) = 1$ Theorem 4.1 delivers

$$N(\lambda) \sim 2^{-d}h^{-2d}d!^{-1}(\ln \lambda)^{2ds}, \quad \lambda \to \infty, \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$\lambda_j = \exp\left(2^{1/(2s)}h^{1/s}d!^{1/(2ds)}j^{1/(2ds)}\left(1 + o(1)\right)\right), \quad j \to \infty, \tag{4.19}$$

because here $\gamma = (d!)^{1/(2d)}\sqrt{2}$.

Let us give an example of a symbol that satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 4.1 with this f. Let

$$a(w) = e^{(h\langle w\rangle)^{1/s}} + a_1(w),$$

where $s \geq 1/(1-\rho)$ is such $e^{\langle w\rangle^{1/s}}$ is of ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$ (i.e. $M_p \subset p!^s$ and $M_p \prec p!^s$, respectively) and a_1 is real-valued and satisfies the following estimate: for every $h' > 0$ there exists $C' > 0$ (resp. there exist $h', C' > 0$) such that

$$|D_w^\alpha a_1(w)| \leq C'h'^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha e^{(h\langle w\rangle)^{1/s}}\langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha|+1)}, \ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}. \tag{4.20}$$

Clearly a satisfies the bound

$$C_1 e^{(h|w|)^{1/s}} \leq a(w) \leq C_2 e^{(h|w|)^{1/s}}, \text{ for large } |w|. \tag{4.21}$$

Furthermore, since $|D_w^\alpha\langle w\rangle| \leq 2^{|\alpha|+1}|\alpha|!\langle w\rangle^{1-|\alpha|}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, [21, Remark 6.1] proves that $e^{(h\langle w\rangle)^{1/s}} \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and it is hypoelliptic. Because of (4.20) and (4.21), a is also hypoelliptic symbol in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Hence, the asymptotic formulae (4.18) and (4.19) for $N(\lambda)$ and eigenvalues hold true for $a^w = (e^{(h\langle\cdot\rangle)^{1/s}})^w + a_1^w$. We remark that given any $s > 1$ the conditions are always met with $\nu/l \leq \rho \leq 1 - 1/s$, $M_p = p!^l$, and $A_p = p!^\nu$ if we choose the parameters l and ν such that $1 < \nu < l < s$ and $\nu/l \leq 1 - 1/s$.

More generally, let $f(y) = \tilde{M}(hy)$, where $\tilde{M}$ is the associated function of a sequence $M_p \subset \tilde{M}_p$ (resp. $M_p \prec \tilde{M}_p$), and $\tilde{M}_p$ satisfies $(M.1)$. Then [11] $yf'(y)/f(y) = \tilde{m}(hy) \to \infty$. In this case, when $\Phi(\vartheta) = 1$ we obtain

$$N(\lambda) \sim 2^{-d}h^{-2d}d!^{-1}(\tilde{M}^{-1}(\ln \lambda))^{2d}, \quad \lambda \to \infty. \tag{4.22}$$

Similarly for the upper bound from Theorem 4.4. In particular, if there exist $C, h > 0$ such that $Ce^{\tilde{M}(h|w|)} \leq a(w)$, for large $|w|$, one always has the O-bound

$$N(\lambda) = O((\tilde{M}^{-1}(\ln \lambda))^{2d}).$$

If $M_p \prec \tilde{M}_p$ and there exists $B > 0$ such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $c > 0$ such that $ce^{\tilde{M}(h|w|)} \leq a(w)$, $\forall |w| \geq B$, then we have the effective estimate

$$N(\lambda) \leq 2(2h^2)^{-d}(e/d!)(\tilde{M}^{-1}(\ln \lambda))^{2d}$$

for large enough $\lambda \geq \lambda_h$, which yields the o-bound

$$N(\lambda) = o((\tilde{M}^{-1}(\ln \lambda))^{2d}), \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Example 4.7. We present in this example another nontrivial instance of a hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operator of infinite order. Let ν, l, s be three positive numbers such that $1 < \nu < l < s$ and $\nu/l \leq 1 - 1/s$. Consider the entire function

$$P(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(hz)^n}{n^{sn}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where h is a positive constant, and the symbol

$$a(w) = P(\langle w \rangle), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

It is shown in [5, Sect. 3] that $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is hypoelliptic, where $\nu/l \leq \rho \leq 1-1/s$, $M_p = p!^l$, and $A_p = p!^\nu$. Denote as N the spectral counting function of the Weyl quantisation of a and let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be its sequence of eigenvalues. We will show that

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{e^{2ds}}{2^d h^{2d} s^{2ds} d!} (\ln \lambda)^{2ds} \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$\lambda_j = \exp\left(e^{-1} s \cdot 2^{1/(2s)} h^{1/s} d!^{1/(2ds)} j^{1/(2ds)} \left(1 + o(1)\right)\right), \quad j \to \infty. \tag{4.24}$$

We start by noticing that, given any fixed $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we have bounds

$$c'_\varepsilon P((1-\varepsilon)|w|) \leq a(w) \leq C'_\varepsilon P((1+\varepsilon)|w|)$$

for sufficiently large w. Next, observe that

$$e^{-s} \exp\left(\frac{s y^{1/s}}{e}\right) \leq \sup_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{y^p}{p^{sp}} \leq e^s \exp\left(\frac{s y^{1/s}}{e}\right), \quad y \geq e^s, \tag{4.25}$$

because the only critical point of $g(t) = t \ln y - st \ln t$ lies at $t = e^{-1} y^{1/s}$. Thus, given any arbitrary $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we obtain the bounds

$$e^{-s} \exp\left(\frac{s(hy)^{1/s}}{e}\right) \leq P(y) \leq \frac{((1+\varepsilon)e)^s}{(\varepsilon+1)^s - 1} \exp\left(\frac{(1+\varepsilon) s (hy)^{1/s}}{e}\right), \quad y \geq e^s/h.$$

It then follows that the radial symbol a satisfies (4.3) with $f(y) = \exp(e^{-1} s (hy)^{1/s})$ and the constant function $\Phi(\vartheta) = 1$. Theorem 4.1 immediately yields (4.23) and (4.24).

Example 4.8. If $f(y) = y^\beta \ln^\alpha y$, where $\beta > 0$, we have that $y f'(y)/f(y) \to \beta$ and $\sigma(\lambda) \sim (\beta^\alpha \lambda \ln^\alpha \lambda)^{1/\beta}$. Therefore, the conclusion of Theorem 4.2 reads in this case

$$N(\lambda) \sim \frac{(\beta^\alpha \lambda)^{2d/\beta} \pi}{(2\pi)^{d+1} d \ln^{2d\alpha/\beta} \lambda} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}}, \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

and

$$\lambda_j \sim (2d)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2d}} (2\pi)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}}\right)^{-\frac{\beta}{2d}} j^{\frac{\beta}{2d}} \ln^{\frac{\alpha}{2d}} j, \quad j \to \infty.$$

Likewise for the upper bound from Theorem 4.4.

5. Power series of Shubin type differential operators

The purpose of this section is to study various non-trivial instances of infinite order pseudo-differential operators with hypoelliptic symbols that arise as power series of classical differential operators which are elliptic in Shubin sense. The motivating examples presented in the introduction as well as the analysis of the Sobolev type spaces from Section 8 are based on the main results of this section.

We start with a few preliminary facts of technical nature.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \geq 2$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$(a^{\#n})_j(w) = \sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_{n-1}=j} \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1+\boldsymbol{\beta}^1|=s_1,\ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}|=s_{n-1}} \frac{(-1)^{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}!\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}!(2i)^j} \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{n} \partial_\xi^{\beta^{l-1,1}+\ldots+\beta^{l-1,l-1}+\alpha^{l,l}+\ldots+\alpha^{n-1,l}} \partial_x^{\alpha^{l-1,1}+\ldots+\alpha^{l-1,l-1}+\beta^{l,l}+\ldots+\beta^{n-1,l}} a(w),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^l = (\alpha^{l,1}, \ldots, \alpha^{l,l}) \in \mathbb{N}^{dl}$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}^l = (\beta^{l,1}, \ldots, \beta^{l,l}) \in \mathbb{N}^{dl}$, for $l = 1, \ldots, n-1$, and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{dn(n-1)/2}$, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}^1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{dn(n-1)/2}$. Furthermore, $(a^{\#n})_0(w) = (a(w))^n$. If a is real-valued, then $(a^{\#n})_j$ are real-valued for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

If a is a polynomial of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ in the variable w, then $(a^{\#n})_j = 0$ for all $j > [nm/2]$, and the polynomial $(a^{\#n})_j$ has degree at most $nm - 2j$, $j = 1, \ldots, [nm/2]$.

Remark 5.2. In the above formula we apply the principle of vacuous summation in the summation of the multiindexes α and β in the derivatives in x and ξ. This means that when $l = 1$ the corresponding term is just $\partial_\xi^{\alpha^{1,1}+\ldots+\alpha^{n-1,1}} \partial_x^{\beta^{1,1}+\ldots+\beta^{n-1,1}} a(w)$; similarly when $l = n$.

Proof. To prove the formula for $(a^{\#n})_j$, notice that when $n = 2$ this is nothing else but the definition of $(a\#a)_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof can be done by induction on n. The fact $(a^{\#n})_0(w) = (a(w))^n$ follows directly from the formula. The fact that $(a^{\#n})_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are real-valued when such is a readily follows from Remark 3.6 and Proposition 3.10.

Assume that a is a polynomial of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Fix $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and notice that the degree of each term in the product that appears in $(a^{\#n})_j$ is at most

$$m - |\beta^{l-1,1} + \ldots + \beta^{l-1,l-1} + \alpha^{l,l} + \ldots + \alpha^{n-1,l}| - |\alpha^{l-1,1} + \ldots + \alpha^{l-1,l-1} + \beta^{l,l} + \ldots + \beta^{n-1,l}|, \ \forall l = 1, \ldots, n.$$

If we sum these quantities and notice that each multiindex $\alpha^{l,k}$ and $\beta^{l,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n-1$, appears exactly twice, we obtain that the degree of $(a^{\#n})_j$ is at most $nm - 2j$. This implies the second part of the lemma. □

Let a be a polynomial in w of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Defining

$$a_n(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a^{\#n})_k(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{[nm/2]} (a^{\#n})_k(w), \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.1 proves that a_n is a polynomial in w of degree nm. As a simple, but important, consequence of Lemma 5.1 we deduce that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(a_n\#a)_k = a_{n+1}$, $n \in$

$\mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \geq 2$. Of course, this formula remains valid even for $n = 1$ if we put $a_1 = a$. The importance of this observation lies in the following fact.

Lemma 5.3. *Let a be a polynomial in w of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. With the same notations as above, we have $(a^w)^n = (a_n)^w$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Furthermore, if a is real-valued, then the same holds for a_n for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. If b_1 and b_2 are polynomials in w, then [16, Theorem 1.2.17, p. 34] (especially its proof!) implies $b_1^w b_2^w = (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(b_1 \# b_2)_k)^w$ (notice the sum is finite and it is a polynomial). For $n = 2$, the claim in the lemma directly follows from this fact. Arguing by induction on n, and using the same fact, we conclude $a_n^w a^w = (\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(a_n \# a)_k)^w = a_{n+1}^w$ (the very last equality follows from the fact we just proved before this lemma). Notice that the claim in the lemma is trivial for $n = 1$. To finish the proof, when a is real-valued a_n is also real-valued by Lemma 5.1 and (5.1). □

Assume now that $a(w) = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} c_\gamma w^\gamma$ is a real-valued elliptic Shubin polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $a(w) > 0$ when $w \in Q_{B_1}^c$, for some $B_1 \geq 1$. Clearly, $c_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $|\gamma| \leq m$. There exists $C_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$|D^\alpha a(w)| \leq C_1 \langle w \rangle^{m-|\alpha|}, \ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\langle w \rangle^m \leq C_1 a(w) \text{ and } a(w) \geq 1, \ \forall w \in Q_{B_1}^c, \tag{5.3}$$

by increasing B_1 if necessary. Hence, for each $0 < \rho' < 1$, there exists $B' = B'(\rho') \geq B_1$ such that

$$|D^\alpha a(w)| \leq (a(w))^{1 - \frac{|\alpha|\rho'}{m}}, \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \forall w \in Q_{B'}^c. \tag{5.4}$$

Denote by a' the principle part of a, i.e., $a'(w) = \sum_{|\gamma|=m} c_\gamma w^\gamma$. Then a' is a real-valued elliptic Shubin polynomial. Increasing B_1 and C_1 if necessary, we may assume that a' satisfies (5.2) and (5.3). We retain the notation a_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, for the polynomials (5.1). For the purposes of the next theorem, we additionally define a_0 by $a_0(w) = 1$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let a, a' and a_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be as above and assume that the parameter ρ in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is such that $\rho < 1$. Let $s > 1/(1-\rho)$ be such that $M_p \subset p!^s$ in the (M_p) case and $M_p \prec p!^s$ in the $\{M_p\}$ case respectively. Let $\widehat{M}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\widehat{M}_0 = 1$ and there exists $C_0 \geq 1$ such that*

$$C_0^{n-k} \frac{\widehat{M}_n}{(nm)!^s} \geq \frac{\widehat{M}_k}{(km)!^s}, \ \forall n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{with } n \geq k. \tag{5.5}$$

Let $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$P(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{\widehat{M}_n}. \tag{5.6}$$

Then the following statements hold true.

- *(i) The functions $w \mapsto P(|a(w)|)$ and $w \mapsto P(|a'(w)|)$, $\mathbb{R}^{2d} \to [0, \infty)$, are continuous and of ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$.*
- *(ii) The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(w)/\widehat{M}_n$, $1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a(w))^n/\widehat{M}_n$ and $1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a'(w))^n/\widehat{M}_n$ absolutely converge in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.*

(*iii*) *There exist* $C > 1$ *and* $B \geq B_1$ *such that for all* $w \in Q_B^c$ *and* $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D_w^\gamma a_n(w)}{\widehat{M}_n}\right| \leq \frac{C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho|\gamma|}}, \qquad |D_w^\gamma P(a(w))| \leq \frac{C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho|\gamma|}}, \qquad \textit{and}$$

$$\left|D_w^\gamma\left(P(a(w)) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(w)}{\widehat{M}_n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\gamma|+2)}}. \tag{5.7}$$

In particular, the symbols $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(w)/\widehat{M}_n$ *and* $P(a(w)) = 1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a(w))^n/\widehat{M}_n$ *are* $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$*-hypoelliptic.*

(*iv*) *There exist* $C > 1$ *and* $B \geq B_1$ *such that for all* $w \in Q_B^c$ *and* $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$

$$|D_w^\gamma P(a'(w))| \leq \frac{C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a'(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho|\gamma|}}, \quad \left|D_w^\gamma\left(P(a'(w)) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(w)}{\widehat{M}_n}\right)\right| \leq \frac{C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a'(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\gamma|+1)}}.$$

In particular, the symbol $P(a'(w)) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(a'(w))^n/\widehat{M}_n$ *is* $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$*-hypoelliptic.*

Proof. First, observe that (5.5) implies $\widehat{M}_n \geq (nm)!^s/C_0^n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Part (*i*) follows directly from this observation together with the fact $M_p \subset p!^s$ (resp. $M_p \prec p!^s$). We prove (*ii*). By Lemma 5.1, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &D_w^\gamma a_n(w) \\ &\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{[nm/2]} \sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_{n-1}=j} \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1+\boldsymbol{\beta}^1|=s_1,\ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}|=s_{n-1}} \sum_{\gamma^1+\ldots+\gamma^n=\gamma} \\ &\qquad \cdot\frac{(-1)^{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}!\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}!(2i)^j} \cdot \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma^1!\ldots\gamma^n!} \\ &\qquad \cdot\prod_{l=1}^{n} D_w^{\gamma^l}\partial_\xi^{\beta^{l-1,1}+\ldots+\beta^{l-1,l-1}+\alpha^{l,l}+\ldots+\alpha^{n-1,l}}\partial_x^{\alpha^{l-1,1}+\ldots+\alpha^{l-1,l-1}+\beta^{l,l}+\ldots+\beta^{n-1,l}} a(w). \end{aligned}$$

Each term in the above product is a polynomial with degree at most

$$\begin{aligned} &m - |\gamma^l| - |\beta^{l-1,1} + \ldots + \beta^{l-1,l-1} + \alpha^{l,l} + \ldots + \alpha^{n-1,l}| \\ &\qquad -|\alpha^{l-1,1} + \ldots + \alpha^{l-1,l-1} + \beta^{l,l} + \ldots + \beta^{n-1,l}|, \ \forall l = 1,\ldots,n. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these inequalities and noticing that each multiindex $\alpha^{l,k}$ and $\beta^{l,k}$, $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n-1$, appears exactly twice, we obtain that the product has degree at most $nm - |\gamma| - 2j$. Hence all the summands for $j > [(nm-|\gamma|)/2]$ are identically equal to zero. Thus, application of (5.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |D_w^\gamma a_n(w)| \ \leq \ &\sum_{j=0}^{[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]} \sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_{n-1}=j} \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1+\boldsymbol{\beta}^1|=s_1,\ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}|=s_{n-1}} \sum_{\gamma^1+\ldots+\gamma^n=\gamma} \\ &\cdot\frac{1}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}!\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}!2^j} \cdot \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma^1!\ldots\gamma^n!} C_1^n\langle w\rangle^{nm-|\gamma|-2j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we applied the principle of vacuous summation if $[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]<0$ (i.e. if $|\gamma|>nm$). Notice that

$$\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^l+\boldsymbol{\beta}^l|=s_l}\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^l!\boldsymbol{\beta}^l!}=\frac{(2dl)^{s_l}}{s_l!},\ l=1,\dots,n-1.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_{n-1}=j}\ \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1+\boldsymbol{\beta}^1|=s_1,\ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}|=s_{n-1}}\frac{1}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}!\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}!2^j}=\frac{d^j}{j!}\cdot\frac{n^j(n-1)^j}{2^j}. \tag{5.8}$$

As $\sum_{\gamma^1+\ldots+\gamma^n=\gamma}\gamma!/(\gamma^1!\dots\gamma^n!)=n^{|\gamma|}$, we conclude

$$|D_w^\gamma a_n(w)|\leq\frac{C_1^n}{\langle w\rangle^{|\gamma|}}\sum_{j=0}^{[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]}\frac{d^jn^{|\gamma|+2j}\langle w\rangle^{nm-2j}}{2^jj!}.$$

Employing (5.5), we have the following estimates for $0\leq j\leq[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]$

$$\frac{n^{|\gamma|+2j}}{\widehat{M}_n}\leq\frac{(eC_0)^n(|\gamma|+2j)!}{(nm)!^s}\leq\frac{(eC_0)^n2^{|\gamma|+2j}|\gamma|!(2j)!}{(nm)!^s}\leq\frac{(eC_0)^n2^{|\gamma|+2j}|\gamma|!}{(nm-2j)!^s}.$$

Since $M_p\subset p!^s$ (resp. $M_p\prec p!^s$) there exist $C',L'>0$ (resp. for every $L'>0$ there exists $C'>0$) such that $M_p\leq C'L'^pp!^s$, $p\in\mathbb{N}$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|D_w^\gamma a_n(w)|}{\widehat{M}_n}&\leq\frac{C'2^{|\gamma|}|\gamma|!}{2^{nm}\langle w\rangle^{|\gamma|}}\sum_{j=0}^{[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]}\frac{(4eC_0C_1d)^{2j}(2eC_0C_1L'\langle w\rangle)^{nm-2j}}{j!M_{nm-2j}}\\&\leq\frac{C_1'2^{|\gamma|}|\gamma|!e^{M(2eC_0C_1L'\langle w\rangle)}}{2^{nm}\langle w\rangle^{|\gamma|}}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that this estimate trivially holds when $n=0,1$. Thus, we obtain that $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(w)/\widehat{M}_n$ absolutely converges in $\Gamma_{A_p,1}^{(M_p),\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};\tilde{m})$ for some $\tilde{m}>0$ in the Beurling case and in $\Gamma_{A_p,1}^{\{M_p\},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};2)$ in the Roumieu case respectively. Consequently, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(w)/\widehat{M}_n$ absolutely converges in $\Gamma_{A_p,1}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. The proof for $1+\sum_{n=1}^\infty(a(w))^n/\widehat{M}_n$ and $1+\sum_{n=1}^\infty(a'(w))^n/\widehat{M}_n$ is completely analogous and we omit it.

Next, we prove (iii). Our immediate goal is to estimate

$$D_w^\gamma\left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty\frac{a_n}{\widehat{M}_n}-P(a(w))\right)=\sum_{n=2}^\infty\frac{D_w^\gamma(a_n-a^n)}{\widehat{M}_n}.$$

Fix s' such that $s>s'>1/(1-\rho)$ (by assumption $s>1/(1-\rho)$). Hence $1>\rho+(s')^{-1}$. Pick ρ' such that $1>\rho'>\rho+s'^{-1}$. Thus $(s'\rho'-1)/s'>\rho$. For this ρ' there exists $B'\geq B_1$ for which (5.4) holds. Because of Lemma 5.1 and (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&D_w^\gamma(a_n(w)-(a(w))^n)\\&=\sum_{j=1}^{[nm/2]}\sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_{n-1}=j}\ \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1+\boldsymbol{\beta}^1|=s_1,\ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}|=s_{n-1}}\ \sum_{\gamma^1+\ldots+\gamma^n=\gamma}\frac{(-1)^{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}|}}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}!\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}!(2i)^j}\frac{\gamma!}{\gamma^1!\dots\gamma^n!}\end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot\prod_{l=1}^{n} D_w^{\gamma^l}\partial_\xi^{\beta^{l-1,1}+\ldots+\beta^{l-1,l-1}+\alpha^{l,l}+\ldots+\alpha^{n-1,l}}\partial_x^{\alpha^{l-1,1}+\ldots+\alpha^{l-1,l-1}+\beta^{l,l}+\ldots+\beta^{n-1,l}}a(w).$$

Similarly as above, one verifies that in the first sum $j \le [(nm-|\gamma|)/2]$, because, in the contrary, all summands are identically equal to zero. Thus, applying (5.4), we have the following estimate on $Q^c_{B'}$

$$\begin{aligned}|D_w^\gamma(a_n(w)-(a(w))^n)| &\\ \le \sum_{j=1}^{[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]} &\sum_{s_1+\ldots+s_{n-1}=j}\ \sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^1+\boldsymbol{\beta}^1|=s_1,\ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{n-1}+\boldsymbol{\beta}^{n-1}|=s_{n-1}}\ \sum_{\gamma^1+\ldots+\gamma^n=\gamma}\\ &\cdot\frac{1}{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}!\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}!2^j}\cdot\frac{\gamma!}{\gamma^1!\ldots\gamma^n!}\cdot(a(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+2j)\rho'}{m}},\end{aligned}$$

where we applied the principle of vacuous summation if $[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]\le 0$. Now, (5.8) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{|D_w^\gamma(a_n(w)-(a(w))^n)|}{\widehat{M}_n} &\le \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{j=1}^{[(nm-|\gamma|)/2]}\frac{d^j n^{|\gamma|+2j}}{2^j j!\widehat{M}_n}\cdot(a(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+2j)\rho'}{m}}\\ &\le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{d^j}{2^j j!}\sum_{n=[(|\gamma|+2j)/m]}^{\infty}\frac{n^{|\gamma|+2j}(a(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+2j)\rho'}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n},\end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

for all $w\in Q^c_{B'}$, $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{n=[(|\gamma|+2j)/m]}^{\infty}\frac{n^{|\gamma|+2j}(a(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+2j)\rho'}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}\\ &= \sum_{n=[(|\gamma|+2j)/m]}^{\infty}\frac{n^{|\gamma|+2j}(a(w))^{\left(n-\frac{(|\gamma|+2j)}{m}\right)\frac{1}{s'}}}{\widehat{M}_n^{1/s'}}\cdot\frac{(a(w))^{\frac{(s'-1)n}{s'}-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}\cdot\frac{s'\rho'-1}{s'}}}{\widehat{M}_n^{(s'-1)/s'}}\\ &\le \left(\sum_{n=[(|\gamma|+2j)/m]}^{\infty}\frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}\right)^{1/s'}\\ &\quad\cdot\left(\sum_{n=[(|\gamma|+2j)/m]}^{\infty}\frac{(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}\cdot\frac{s'\rho'-1}{s'-1}}}{\widehat{M}_n}\right)^{(s'-1)/s'},\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

by Hölder's inequality with $p=s'>1$ and $q=s'/(s'-1)>1$. Denote by $g_1(w)$ and $g_2(w)$ the two functions comprising the above product. Notice that

$$g_2(w)\le(a(w))^{-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}\cdot\frac{s'\rho'-1}{s'}}(P(a(w)))^{(s'-1)/s'}\le C_1^{|\gamma|+1}\langle w\rangle^{-(|\gamma|+2)\rho}(P(a(w)))^{(s'-1)/s'}$$

on $Q^c_{B'}$; the very last inequality follows from the facts: $j\ge 1$, (5.3) and the way we chose s' and ρ'. To estimate g_1, fix $w\in Q^c_{B'}$, $j\ge 1$ and $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$. There exists $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $k^{s'}\le(a(w))^{1/m}<(k+1)^{s'}$ (cf. (5.3)) and there exists $l\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $lm\le|\gamma|+2j\le(l+1)m-1$. We consider three cases.

Case 1: $k+1 \leq l$. We have

$$(g_1(w))^{s'} = \frac{l^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{l-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M_l}} + S_1 + S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{n=l+1}^{m(l+1)} \frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M_n}},\ S_2 = \sum_{n=m(l+1)+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M_n}}.$$

Notice that

$$S_1 = \sum_{n=l+1}^{m(l+1)} \frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{\frac{(l+1)m-|\gamma|-2j}{m}}(a(w))^{n-l-1}}{\widehat{M_n}} \leq \sum_{n=l+1}^{m(l+1)} \frac{n^{(l+1)ms'}(a(w))^{n-l-1}}{\widehat{M_n}}$$

and similarly

$$S_2 \leq \sum_{n=m(l+1)+1}^{\infty} \frac{n^{(l+1)ms'}(a(w))^{n-l-1}}{\widehat{M_n}}.$$

To estimate S_1, notice that $n^{(l+1)ms'} \leq \prod_{t=0}^{(l+1)m-1}(nm+n-t)^s$ (because $nm+n-t \geq nm+n-(l+1)m \geq n$). Hence

$$n^{(l+1)ms'} \leq (nm+n)!^s/((n-l-1)m+n)!^s \leq 2^{n(m+1)s}(nm)!^s/((n-l-1)m)!^s.$$

When n ranges between $l+1$ and $m(l+1)$ we have

$$2^{n(m+1)s} \leq 2^{m(m+1)s}2^{m(m+1)ls} \leq 2^{m(m+1)s}2^{s(m+1)(|\gamma|+2j)}.$$

Applying (5.5), we conclude

$$S_1 \leq C_0C_0^l 2^{m(m+1)s}2^{s(m+1)(|\gamma|+2j)}P(a(w)) \leq C_0 2^{m(m+1)s}(C_0 2^{s(m+1)})^{(|\gamma|+2j)}P(a(w)).$$

To estimate S_2, notice that when $n \geq m(l+1)+1$ we have $n^{(l+1)ms'} \leq \prod_{t=0}^{(l+1)m-1}(nm-t)^s = (nm)!^s/((n-l-1)m)!^s$ (since $nm-t \geq nm-(l+1)m \geq n$). Thus, applying (5.5), we conclude $S_2 \leq C_0C_0^{|\gamma|+2j}P(a(w))$. Finally, because of (5.3), we have $(a(w))^{l-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}} \leq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{l^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{l-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M_l}} &\leq \frac{C_0^l l^{(l+1)ms'}}{(lm)!^s} \leq \frac{C_0^l e^{lms'} l!^{ms'} l^{ms'}}{l!^{ms}} \leq \frac{(C_0e)^{2lms'}}{l!^{m(s-s')}} \\ &= \left(\frac{(C_0e)^{2ls'/(s-s')}}{l!}\right)^{m(s-s')}.\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, the last term can be estimated by a constant C_1' which is independent of l. As $P(a(w)) \geq 1$ on $Q_{B'}^c$, we conclude

$$g_1(w) \leq C_2'(C_0^{1/s'}2^{(m+1)s/s'})^{|\gamma|+2j}P(a(w))^{1/s'}, \tag{5.11}$$

where the constant $C_2' > 0$ is independent of $w \in Q_{B'}^c$, $j \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$.
Case 2: $l \leq k \leq m(l+1)-1$. We have

$$(g_1(w))^{s'} = \sum_{n=l}^{k} \frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M_n}} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{m(l+1)} \frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M_n}}$$

$$+\sum_{n=m(l+1)+1}^{\infty}\frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}.$$

The second and the third sum can be estimated by

$$C_0 2^{m(m+1)s}(C_0 2^{s(m+1)})^{(|\gamma|+2j)}P(a(w)) \quad \text{and} \quad C_0 C_0^{|\gamma|+2j}P(a(w)),$$

correspondingly, by the same technique as for the estimates of the sums S_1 and S_2 form the first case. To estimate the first sum, notice that

$$\sum_{n=l}^{k}\frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}\leq\sum_{n=0}^{k}\frac{(a(w))^n}{\widehat{M}_n}\leq P(a(w)).$$

Thus, we obtain (5.11) possibly with another C_2' independent of $w\in Q^c_{B'}$, $j\geq 1$ and $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$.

Case 3: $m(l+1)\leq k$. We have

$$(g_1(w))^{s'}=\sum_{n=l}^{k}\frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}+\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty}\frac{n^{(|\gamma|+2j)s'}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|+2j}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}.$$

The first sum can be estimated by $P(a(w))$ in the same way as for the first sum in the second case. The second sum can be estimated by $C_0C_0^{|\gamma|+2j}P(a(w))$ in the same way as for the sum S_2 from the first case. Thus, we can conclude (5.11).

Combining (5.11) with the estimate for g_2 and (5.9) and (5.10), we can conclude that there exists $C\geq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{|D_w^\gamma(a_n(w)-(a(w))^n)|}{\widehat{M}_n}\leq\frac{C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\gamma|+2)}},\ w\in Q^c_{B'},\ \gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d},\tag{5.12}$$

which proves (5.7). On the other hand, for $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}\backslash\{0\}$, we have

$$|D_w^\gamma P(a(w))|\leq\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{|D^\gamma(a(w))^n|}{\widehat{M}_n}\leq\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\widehat{M}_n}\sum_{\gamma^1+\ldots+\gamma^n=\gamma}\frac{\gamma!}{\gamma^1!\ldots\gamma^n!}\prod_{l=1}^{n}\left|D_w^{\gamma^l}a(w)\right|.$$

Analogously as in the estimate for $|D_w^\gamma a_n(w)|$, we can conclude that the terms where $|\gamma|>nm$ are identically equal to zero. Thus, for $w\in Q^c_{B'}$, employing (5.4), we have

$$|D_w^\gamma P(a(w))|\leq\sum_{n=[|\gamma|/m]}^{\infty}\frac{n^{|\gamma|}(a(w))^{n-\frac{|\gamma|\rho'}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}.$$

Now, employing the same technique as for the estimation of (5.10) we can conclude the existence of $C\geq 1$ such that $|D_w^\gamma f(a(w))|\leq C^{|\gamma|+1}P(a(w))\langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\gamma|}$, for all $w\in Q^c_{B'}$, $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$ (the estimate trivially holds when $\gamma=0$). This estimate together with (5.7) proves the rest of the claims in (iii).

It remains to prove (iv). We recall that a' satisfies (5.2) and (5.3). Let s' and ρ' be as above. Since a' is elliptic and $a''=a-a'$ is a polynomial of degree at most $m-1$, we can conclude the existence of $B'=B'(\rho')\geq B_1$ such that

$$|D_w^\gamma a'(w)|\leq(a'(w))^{1-\frac{|\gamma|\rho'}{m}},\ |D_w^\gamma a''(w)|\leq(a'(w))^{1-\frac{(|\gamma|+1)\rho'}{m}},\tag{5.13}$$

$w\in Q^c_{B'}$, $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$. For $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$, we have

$$|D^\gamma\left((a(w))^n-(a'(w))^n\right)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{\gamma'+\gamma''=\gamma} \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma'!\gamma''!} \left| D^{\gamma'} \left((a'(w))^{n-j} \right) \right| \left| D^{\gamma''} \left((a''(w))^{j} \right) \right|.$$

As $(a'(w))^{n-j}$ is a polynomial of degree $nm-jm$ and $(a''(w))^j$ is a polynomial of degree at most $jm-j$, employing similar technique as above, we can conclude that the terms where $j > nm-|\gamma|$ are identically equal to zero. Thus, as $\binom{n}{j} \leq n^j/j!$, (5.13) yields the following estimate on $Q^c_{B'}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|D^{\gamma}\left((a(w))^n - (a'(w))^n\right)|}{\widehat{M}_n} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\min\{nm-|\gamma|,n\}} \frac{n^j}{j!\widehat{M}_n} \sum_{\gamma'+\gamma''=\gamma} \frac{\gamma!}{\gamma'!\gamma''!} (n-j)^{|\gamma'|} j^{|\gamma''|} (a'(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+j)\rho'}{m}} \\
&\quad \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{nm-|\gamma|} \frac{n^{|\gamma|+j}(a'(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+j)\rho'}{m}}}{j!\widehat{M}_n} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \sum_{n=[(|\gamma|+j)/m]}^{\infty} \frac{n^{|\gamma|+j}(a'(w))^{n-\frac{(|\gamma|+j)\rho'}{m}}}{\widehat{M}_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same argument as for the estimation of (5.10), we conclude that there exists $C > 1$ such that

$$|D^{\gamma}\left(P(a(w)) - P(a'(w))\right)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|D^{\gamma}\left((a(w))^n - (a'(w))^n\right)|}{\widehat{M}_n} \leq \frac{C^{|\gamma|+1} P(a'(w))}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\gamma|+1)}},$$

for all $w \in Q^c_{B'}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$. All claims in (iv) are immediate consequences of this estimate together with (iii). □

The significance of Theorem 5.4(ii)–(iii) lies in the following result.

Corollary 5.5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.4, the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(a^w)^n/\widehat{M}_n$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, $P(a^w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(a^w)^n/\widehat{M}_n$ is a $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operator with real-valued Weyl symbol $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, that is,*

$$P(a^w) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\widehat{M}_n} \right)^w.$$

Furthermore, the symbol $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 5.4.

Proof. By Theorem 5.4 (ii), the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n$ absolutely converges in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and it is real-valued by Lemma 5.3. Proposition 3.1 together with Lemma 5.3 proves that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(a^w)^n/\widehat{M}_n$ converges absolutely in both $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(a^w)^n/\widehat{M}_n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n\right)^w$. The rest follows from Theorem 5.4. □

In the rest of the section we obtain spectral asymptotics for infinite order pseudo-differential operators related to the symbols considered in Theorem 5.4.

Theorem 5.6. *Let a be real-valued elliptic Shubin polynomial of degree $m \geq 2$ with principal symbol $a'(w) = \sum_{|\gamma|=m} c_\gamma w^\gamma > 0$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. Let s, ρ and the sequence*

$\widehat{M}_n$ be as in Theorem 5.4. Furthermore, let P be given by (5.6) *and let the symbol $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be real-valued and satisfy: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that*

$$|D^\alpha_w b(w)| \leq C h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha \frac{P(a'(w))}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+1)}}, \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}. \tag{5.14}$$

Then,

$$A_1 = P(a^w) + b^w \text{ and } A_2 = (P \circ a)^w + b^w$$

are $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators with spectral asymptotics

$$N_i(\lambda) \sim c \cdot (P^{-1}(\lambda))^{\frac{2d}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{(i)}_j = P\left((j/c)^{\frac{m}{2d}}(1 + o(1))\right) \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$c = \frac{\pi}{(2\pi)^{d+1} d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(a'(\vartheta))^{\frac{2d}{m}}}\,, \tag{5.16}$$

N_i is the spectral counting function of A_i and $\{\lambda^{(i)}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ its sequence of eigenvalues, $i = 1, 2$. Furthermore, if in addition the sequence $\widehat{M}_n$ is log-convex, i.e. the condition $(M.1)$ holds for it, we also have

$$N_i(\lambda) \sim c \cdot (\widehat{M}^{-1}(\ln \lambda))^{\frac{2d}{m}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{(i)}_j = e^{\widehat{M}\left((j/c)^{\frac{m}{2d}}(1+o(1))\right)} \tag{5.17}$$

with $\widehat{M}(y) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \ln_+ y^n/\widehat{M}_n$, $y > 0$, the associated function of the sequence $\widehat{M}_n$.

Proof. The $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-hypoellipticity follows at once from the estimates obtained in Theorem 5.4 and the assumption (5.14) on the "lower order" perturbation b. Write b_i for the symbol of A_i, $i = 1, 2$. It is obvious that for each $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ one has bounds of the form

$$c_\varepsilon P((1-\varepsilon)r^m a'(\vartheta)) \leq b_i(r\vartheta) \leq C_\varepsilon P((1+\varepsilon)r^m a'(\vartheta)), \quad r > B_\varepsilon,\ \vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^{2d-1},\ i = 1, 2.$$

Thus, both symbols b_1 and b_2 satisfy the lower and upper bounds (4.3) with $f(y) = P(y^m)$ and $\Phi(\vartheta) = (a'(\vartheta))^{1/m}$. Theorem 4.1 then yields (5.15) if we verify that this f satisfies (4.2). Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be arbitrary but fixed. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} &\geq \frac{1}{f(y)} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{mny^{mn}}{\widehat{M}_n} \geq mk - \frac{mk}{f(y)} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{y^{mn}}{\widehat{M}_n} = mk + O_k\left(\frac{y^{mk}}{f(y)}\right)\\ &= mk + o_k(1),\end{aligned}$$

since

$$y^{-mk} f(y) \geq y^{-mk} y^{m(k+1)}/\widehat{M}_{k+1} = y^m/\widehat{M}_{k+1} \to \infty, \text{ as } y \to \infty.$$

Thus we have $\liminf_{y\to\infty} yf'(y)/f(y) \geq mk$. As k was arbitrary, we conclude that (4.2) holds and hence (5.15) has been established.

On the other hand, given an arbitrary $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we have bounds

$$e^{\widehat{M}(y)} \leq P(y) \leq \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\, e^{\widehat{M}((1+\varepsilon)y)}, \quad y > 1.$$

So, the estimates (4.3) hold with $f(y) = e^{\widehat{M}(y^m)}$ and $\Phi(\vartheta) = (a'(\vartheta))^{1/m}$ as well. Under the assumption $(M.1)$ for the sequence $\widehat{M}_n$, we have the representation [11,

p. 50]

$$\widehat{M}(y^m) = \int_0^{y^m} \frac{\widehat{m}(t)}{t} dt, \quad y \geq 1,$$

where $\widehat{m}$ is the counting function of the sequence $\widehat{m}_p = \widehat{M}_p/\widehat{M}_{p-1}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence, $f(y) = e^{\widehat{M}(y^m)}$ also satisfies

$$\frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} = m \cdot \widehat{m}(y^m) \to \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad y \to \infty.$$

The asymptotic formulae (5.17) follow once again from Theorem 4.1, which completes the proof of the theorem. □

It turns out that when the closure of the differential operator a^w has only non-negative eigenvalues and $b = 0$, the spectrum of the operator $\overline{A}_1$ from Theorem 5.6 has a very simple structure:

Corollary 5.7. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5.4 and additionally assuming that the eigenvalues of the closure of the differential operator a^w are non-negative, the spectrum of the maximal (equivalently, minimal) realisation in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the pseudo-differential operator $P(a^w)$ is given by its eigenvalues, which are given by the sequence $\{P(\mu_j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ where $\{\mu_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of a^w (taking multiplicities into account in both cases). Furthermore, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the eigenspace of $P(a^w)$ that corresponds to $P(\mu_j)$ coincides with the eigenspace that corresponds to μ_j.*

Proof. Denote as A the unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ given by $P(a^w)$. Its maximal realisation is $\overline{A}$. As we have repeatedly used through this section, we know that the spectrum of $\overline{A}$ consists of a sequence of real isolated eigenvalues diverging to $+\infty$, each of them with finite multiplicity and eigenfunctions belonging to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. Corollary 5.5 and Proposition 3.21). The same applies for the closure of a^w. Find an orthonormal basis $\{u_j |\, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $u_j \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to μ_j. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $\overline{A}$ and $0 \neq \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ an eigenfunction that corresponds to λ. Clearly, $\overline{A}u_j = P(a^w)u_j = P(\mu_j)u_j$. Denoting $c_j = (\varphi, u_j)$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda c_j u_j = \lambda\varphi = P(a^w)\varphi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j P(a^w)u_j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j P(\mu_j)u_j,$$

where the last series absolutely converges in the space $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ since we have $P(a^w) \in \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ in view of Corollary 5.5 (the series $\sum_j c_j u_j$ absolutely converges to φ in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ because of [25, Theorem 4.1]). Thus,

$$\lambda c_j = c_j P(\mu_j), \ j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{5.18}$$

As $\varphi \neq 0$, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_j \neq 0$ and hence $\lambda = P(\mu_j)$, as claimed. If $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $\mu_k \neq \mu_j$, then (5.18) implies $c_k = 0$ (as μ_p, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, are non-negative and P is strictly increasing on $[0, \infty)$). Thus, φ belongs to the eigenspace of the closure of a^w which corresponds to μ_j. This automatically implies that the eigenspace of $\overline{A}$ which corresponds to $P(\mu_j)$ is a subspace of the eigenspace which corresponds to μ_j. On the other hand, each u_j is an eigenfunction of $\overline{A}$ with eigenvalue $P(\mu_j)$. The proof of the corollary is complete. □

The prototypical example for all results in this section is the polynomial symbol $a(x,\xi) = |x|^2 + |\xi|^2$. Then, a^w is the harmonic oscillator $H = |x|^2 - \Delta$. Hence $P(H) = \mathrm{Id} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H^n/\widehat{M}_n = (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n)^w$ is hypoelliptic, where a_n are defined by (5.1); in this case the symbol $P \circ a$ also considered in Theorem 5.4 is $P(|w|^2) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |w|^{2n}/\widehat{M}_n$. Furthermore, by Theorem 5.6, we have for the operators $A_1 = P(H) + b^w$ and $A_2 = (P \circ a)^w + b^w$

$$N_i(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{2^d d!} \left(P^{-1}(\lambda)\right)^d \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(i)} = P\left((jd!)^{\frac{1}{d}} 2(1+o(1))\right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where $\{\lambda_j^{(i)}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and N_i are their sequences of eigenvalues and their spectral counting functions, and b satisfies (5.14) with $a'(w) = |w|^2$.

The next example treats an instance of a function P for which asymptotic formulae (5.17) can even be made more explicit.

Example 5.8. Let the symbols a, a' and the parameters s, ρ be as in Theorem 5.6. We consider here

$$P(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(h\lambda)^n}{n^{snm}}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the parameter $h > 0$. The sequence $\widehat{M}_n = h^{-n} n^{snm}$ clearly satisfies (5.5) and $(M.1)$, so that Theorem 5.6 applies to conclude (5.17) for the $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-hypoelliptic pseudo-differential operators A_1 and A_2 under consideration. Notice that in this case (cf. (4.25))

$$e^{-sm} \exp\left(\frac{sm\,(hy)^{\frac{1}{sm}}}{e}\right) \leq \exp\left(\widehat{M}(y)\right) \leq e^{sm} \exp\left(\frac{sm\,(hy)^{\frac{1}{sm}}}{e}\right), \quad y \geq \frac{e^{sm}}{h},$$

whence

$$\widehat{M}^{-1}(\ln \lambda) \sim \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{e \ln \lambda}{sm}\right)^{sm}, \quad \lambda \to \infty.$$

Combining these two facts with (5.17), we deduce that

$$N_i(\lambda) \sim \frac{e^{2ds} c}{h^{2d/m} (sm)^{2ds}} (\ln \lambda)^{2ds} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(i)} = \exp\left(\frac{smh^{\frac{1}{sm}}}{e}\left(\frac{j}{c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2ds}}(1+o(1))\right),$$

with c given by (5.16).

In the special case of the symbol $a(w) = |w|^2$ of the harmonic oscillator, the constant is $c = (2^d d!)^{-1}$ and we obtain

$$N_i(\lambda) \sim \frac{e^{2ds}}{h^d s^{2ds} 2^{d(2s+1)} d!} (\ln \lambda)^{2ds}$$

and

$$\lambda_j^{(i)} = \exp\left(\frac{2^{\frac{2s+1}{2s}} s h^{\frac{1}{2s}} (d!)^{\frac{1}{2ds}}}{e}\, j^{\frac{1}{2ds}} (1+o(1))\right).$$

We end this section with a remark.

Remark 5.9. Theorem 5.6 gives the spectral asymptotics of the pseudo-differential operator $A = P(a^w)$ from Corollary 5.7, but alternatively they can also be obtained from results for operators of finite order (which is of course not the case for the pseudo-differential operators A_1 and A_2 from Theorem 5.6, whose analysis

requires the use of Theorem 4.1 in an essential way). In fact, retaining the notation and assumptions from Corollary 5.7, and writing $N_{P(a^w)}$ and N_{a^w} for the spectral counting functions of $P(a^w)$ and a^w and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\mu_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ for their sequences of eigenvalues, respectively, we have that $N_{P(a^w)}(\lambda) = N_{a^w}(P^{-1}(\lambda))$. The well-known facts $N_{a^w}(\lambda) \sim c\lambda^{2d/m}$ and $\mu_j \sim (j/c)^{m/2d}$ (which also follow from Theorem 4.2) and Corollary 5.7 then yield directly $N_{P(a^w)}(\lambda) \sim c\cdot(P^{-1}(\lambda))^{2d/m}$ and $\lambda_j = P(\mu_j) = P((j/c)^{m/2d}(1+o(1)))$.

6. The spectrum of the heat parametrix

Throughout this section we assume a is a hypoelliptic real-valued symbol in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $a(w)/\ln|w| \to \infty$ as $|w|\to\infty$. There exists $B\geq 1$ such that the hypoellipticity condition (3.4) for a holds on Q_B^c and $a(w)>0$, $\forall w\in Q_B^c$. Pick $\tilde{\chi}\in\mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (resp. $\tilde{\chi}\in\mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$) such that $0\leq\tilde{\chi}\leq 1$, $\tilde{\chi}=1$ on Q_{B_1}, for $B_1>B$, and $\tilde{\chi}=0$ on the complement of a small neighbourhood of $\overline{Q_{B_1}}$. Then $b=(1-\tilde{\chi})a+\tilde{\chi}$ is positive on the whole $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and, in fact, it is a hypoelliptic symbol in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ for which the hypoellipticity condition (3.4) holds globally on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

6.1. **The heat parametrix of positive hypoelliptic symbols.** For the symbol b constructed above, we can apply the theory given in [21, Subsection 6.2] for the construction of the heat parametrix. We have the following series of results.

There exist $u_j(t,w)\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$, such that $u_0(t,w)=e^{-tb(w)}$ and the following results hold.

Lemma 6.1. ([21, Lemma 6.5]) *For every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $h,C>0$) such that*

$$|D_t^n D_w^\alpha u_j(t,w)| \leq Cn!h^{|\alpha|+2j}A_{|\alpha|+2j}\left(b(w)\right)^n\langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}e^{-\frac{t}{4}b(w)},$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $(t,w)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Notice that for each $R>0$, the function $u(t,w)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty(1-\chi_{n,R}(w))u_n(t,w) = R(\sum_j u_j)(t,w)$ is in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

Lemma 6.2. ([21, Lemma 6.7]) *There exists $R>1$ such that the C^∞-function $u(t,w)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty(1-\chi_{n,R}(w))u_n(t,w) = R(\sum_j u_j)(t,w)$ satisfies the following condition: for every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $h,C>0$) such that*

$$|D_t^n D_w^\alpha u(t,w)| \leq Cn!h^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha\left(b(w)\right)^n\langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|}e^{-\frac{t}{4}b(w)}, \tag{6.1}$$

for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $(t,w)\in[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\sup_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}\\ n\in\mathbb{N}}}\sup_{\substack{w\in Q^c_{3Rm_k}\\ t\in[0,\infty)}}\frac{\left|D_t^nD_w^\alpha\left(u(t,w)-\sum_{j<k}u_j(t,w)\right)\right|\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2k)}}{n!h^{|\alpha|+2k}A_{|\alpha|+2k}\left(b(w)\right)^n e^{-\frac{t}{4}b(w)}}\leq C.$$

Theorem 6.3. ([21, Theorem 6.1]) *The C^∞-function $u(t,w)$ of Lemma 6.2 defines the vector-valued mapping $\mathbf{u}: t\mapsto u(t,\cdot)$, $[0,\infty)\to\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, that belongs to $C^\infty([0,\infty);\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$. The operator-valued mapping $t\mapsto(\mathbf{u}(t))^w$ belongs to both $C^\infty([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ and $C^\infty([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Moreover, $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases}(\partial_t+b^w)(\mathbf{u}(t))^w=\mathbf{K}(t),\ t\in[0,\infty),\\ (\mathbf{u}(0))^w=\mathrm{Id},\end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mathbf{K} \in C^\infty([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

For each $t \geq 0$, $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *and there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\|(\mathbf{u}(t))^w\|_{\mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \leq C, \quad \textit{for all } t \geq 0.$$

The mapping $t \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(t))^w$, $(0,\infty) \to \mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, *is continuous and* $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w \to (\mathbf{u}(0))^w = \mathrm{Id}$, *as* $t \to 0^+$, *in* $\mathcal{L}_p(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. *Furthermore, for each* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *and* $t > 0$, $(\partial_t^n \mathbf{u}(t))^w \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. *The mapping* $t \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(t))^w$, $(0,\infty) \to \mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, *is smooth and* $\partial_t^n (\mathbf{u}(t))^w = (\partial_t^n \mathbf{u}(t))^w$.

Since the operator $a^w - b^w = (a-b)^w$ is $*$-regularising (by the definition of b), (6.2) implies

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + a^w)(\mathbf{u}(t))^w = \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(t),\ t \in [0,\infty), \\ (\mathbf{u}(0))^w = \mathrm{Id}, \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} \in C^\infty([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

We denote by A the unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by a^w. We apply Proposition 3.21 and obtain that the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator $\overline{A}$ is given by a sequence of real eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ which tends to $+\infty$, where the multiplicities are taken into account, and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ consisting of eigenfunctions of $\overline{A}$ which all belong to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (φ_j corresponds to λ_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$). For each $t \geq 0$, we define the following operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$T(t)g = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} (g, \varphi_j)\varphi_j,\ g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{6.4}$$

Obviously, the above series is unconditionally convergent and $T(t)$ is continuous. Furthermore, $T(t)$ is self-adjoint (one easily verifies that $(T(t)g, g) \in [0,\infty)$, $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and hence it is positive) and $T(0) = \mathrm{Id}$. Clearly, $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0-semigroup.

As it will become clear later, the analysis of this semigroup is one of the key ingredients in the proofs of the main results from Section 4. We will show:

- $T(t)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$;
- the mapping $t \mapsto T(t)$, $[0,\infty) \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, is smooth;
- $T(t)$ and $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w$ are the same, modulo a smooth $*$-regularising family.

As the proofs of these facts are rather lengthy, we devote a whole subsection to them.

Remark 6.4. If $a \in \Gamma^m_\rho(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is a hypoelliptic real-valued symbol such that $a(w) \geq c\langle w\rangle^\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, $\forall |w| \geq c$, one can construct its heat parametrix as well. For this purpose, one can use the same construction as in [16, Theorem 4.5.1, p. 193] (although it is there given only for elliptic symbols). In fact, defining $b \in \Gamma^m_\rho(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ to be positive on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and equal to a outside of a compact neighbourhood of the origin, one can repeat the proof of the quoted result verbatim to find a symbol $u(t,\cdot) \in \Gamma^m_\rho(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $t \geq 0$, which solves (6.2) with $\mathbf{K} \in C^\infty([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Moreover, there are $u_j(t,w) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$t^k \left| D_t^n D_w^\alpha \left(u(t,w) - \sum_{j=0}^{J-1} u_j(t,w) \right) \right| \leq \frac{C_{k,n,J,t_0,\alpha} (b(w))^{n-k}}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2J)}},\ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, t \in [0,t_0]$$

($t_0 > 0$ can be arbitrarily chosen), where $u_0(t,w) = e^{-tb(w)}$ and u_j is given as $u_j(t,w) = e^{-tb(w)} \sum_{l=1}^{2j} t^l u_{l,j}(w)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, with symbols $u_{l,j}$ that satisfy the estimates

$$|D_w^\alpha u_{l,j}(w)| \leq C_{l,j,\alpha}(b(w))^l \langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha|+2j)},\ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

Notice then that $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w = (u(t,\cdot))^w$ satisfies the equation (6.3) with some $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} \in C^\infty([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

6.2. **The analysis of the semigroup $T(t)$, $t \geq 0$.**

Lemma 6.5. *The infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is $-\overline{A}$.*

Proof. For the moment, denote as B the infinitesimal generator of $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$. Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $\overline{A}\psi = \sum_{j=0}^\infty (\overline{A}\psi, \varphi_j)\varphi_j$, we have $\sum_{j=0}^\infty |(\overline{A}\psi,\varphi_j)|^2 < \infty$ and, as $\overline{A}$ is self-adjoint, we conclude

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j^2 |(\psi,\varphi_j)|^2 < \infty \text{ and } \overline{A}\psi = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j(\psi,\varphi_j)\varphi_j,$$

where the last series is unconditionally convergent in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have

$$\frac{T(t)\psi - \psi}{t} + \overline{A}\psi = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left(\frac{e^{-t\lambda_j}-1}{t} + \lambda_j\right)(\psi,\varphi_j)\varphi_j. \tag{6.5}$$

Let $c > 0$ be such that $\lambda_j > -c$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Taylor formula, there exists $C > 0$ such that $|e^{-ts} - 1| \leq Ct|s|$, for all $t \in [0,1]$, $s \geq -c$. Hence $|e^{-t\lambda_j} - 1| \leq Ct|\lambda_j|$, for all $t \in [0,1]$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, letting $t \to 0^+$ in (6.5), dominated convergence implies $t^{-1}(T(t)\psi - \psi) \to -\overline{A}\psi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $-A \subset B$ and hence $-\overline{A} \subset B$ (B is closed as a generator of a C_0-semigroup). Now, for $f, g \in D(B)$, we have

$$(Bf,g) = \lim_{t\to 0^+}(t^{-1}((T(t)f - f), g) = \lim_{t\to 0^+}(f, t^{-1}(T(t)g - g)) = (f, Bg),$$

i.e. $B \subset B^*$. Since $B^* \subset -\overline{A}^* = -\overline{A}$ (which follows from $-\overline{A} \subset B$), we conclude $-\overline{A} = B$. □

Let $c > 0$ be large enough such that $\lambda_j > -c+1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\tilde{a}(w) = a(w)+c > 0$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Then $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is hypoelliptic and we denote by $\tilde{A}$ the corresponding unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Notice that $\sigma(\overline{\tilde{A}}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} |\, \lambda > 1\}$ and $\overline{\tilde{A}}$ is self-adjoint (see Corollary 3.19).

Denote by $\mathbf{P}$ the following closed sector: $\{z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\} |\, -3\pi/4 \leq \arg z \leq 3\pi/4\} \cup \{0\}$. One easily verifies that there exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$\tilde{a}(w) \leq \tilde{C}|\tilde{a}(w) + z| \text{ and } |z| \leq \tilde{C}|\tilde{a}(w) + z|,\ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \forall z \in \mathbf{P}. \tag{6.6}$$

Of course, $\tilde{a}(w) + z \neq 0$, for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $z \in \mathbf{P}$. We denote by $\tilde{a}_z$ the symbol $\tilde{a} + z \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. These inequalities yield that $\tilde{a}_z$, $z \in \mathbf{P}$, are hypoelliptic and they satisfy the following uniform estimate: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha \tilde{a}_z(w)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha |\tilde{a}_z(w)| \langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|},\ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d},\ z \in \mathbf{P}. \tag{6.7}$$

Notice that (6.6) implies that there exist $c, C, m > 0$ (resp. for every $m > 0$ there exist $c, C > 0$) such that

$$c(1+|z|)e^{-M(m|\xi|)}e^{-M(m|x|)} \leq |\tilde{a}_z(x,\xi)| \leq C(1+|z|)e^{M(m|\xi|)}e^{M(m|x|)}, \tag{6.8}$$

for all $(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $z\in\mathbf{P}$. In the Roumieu case, employing Lemma 2.1, this estimate yields the existence of $(k_p)\in\mathfrak{R}$ and $c,C>0$ such that

$$c(1+|z|)e^{-N_{k_p}(|\xi|)}e^{-N_{k_p}(|x|)}\leq|\tilde{a}_z(x,\xi)|\leq C(1+|z|)e^{N_{k_p}(|\xi|)}e^{N_{k_p}(|x|)}, \tag{6.9}$$

for all $(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $z\in\mathbf{P}$. Define $q_0^{(z)}(w)=1/\tilde{a}_z(w)$, $w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, and inductively

$$q_j^{(z)}(x,\xi)=-q_0^{(z)}(x,\xi)\sum_{s=1}^{j}\sum_{|\alpha+\beta|=s}\frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha!\beta!2^s}\partial_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta q_{j-s}^{(z)}(x,\xi)\partial_\xi^\beta D_x^\alpha\tilde{a}_z(x,\xi),\ (x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

In a completely analogous way as in [21, Subsection 5.2.1], one proves that $\sum_j q_j^{(z)}\in FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0)$, $\sum_j q_j^{(z)}\#\tilde{a}_z=\mathbf{1}=\tilde{a}_z\#\sum_j q_j^{(z)}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0)$ and the following estimate holds: for every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $h,C>0$) such that

$$\Big|D_w^\alpha q_j^{(z)}(w)\Big|\leq C\frac{h^{|\alpha|+2j}A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{|\tilde{a}_z(w)|\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}},\ w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d},\ j\in\mathbb{N},\ z\in\mathbf{P}. \tag{6.10}$$

This estimate together with (6.8) in the Beurling case and (6.9) in the Roumieu case respectively, implies the following:

in the (M_p) case, there exists $m>0$ such that for every $h>0$ there is $C>0$ such that

$$(1+|z|)\left|D_w^\alpha q_j^{(z)}(w)\right|\leq Ch^{|\alpha|+2j}A_{|\alpha|+2j}e^{M(m|\xi|)}e^{M(m|x|)}\langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}, \tag{6.11}$$

for all $w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$, $z\in\mathbf{P}$;

in the $\{M_p\}$ case, there exist $(k_p)\in\mathfrak{R}$ and $h,C>0$ such that

$$(1+|z|)\left|D_w^\alpha q_j^{(z)}(w)\right|\leq Ch^{|\alpha|+2j}A_{|\alpha|+2j}e^{N_{k_p}(|\xi|)}e^{N_{k_p}(|x|)}\langle w\rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}, \tag{6.12}$$

for all $w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $j\in\mathbb{N}$, $z\in\mathbf{P}$. Thus, we have obtained

$$\Big\{\textstyle\sum_j(1+|z|)q_j^{(z)}\big|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\Big\}\ \precsim\ e^{M(m|\xi|)}e^{M(m|x|)}\text{ in }FS^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0)\text{ and} \tag{6.13}$$

$$\Big\{\textstyle\sum_j(1+|z|)q_j^{(z)}\big|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\Big\}\ \precsim\ e^{N_{k_p}(|\xi|)}e^{N_{k_p}(|x|)}\text{ in }FS^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0) \tag{6.14}$$

in the Beurling and the Roumieu case, respectively. Similarly, (6.8) and (6.7) yield $\{\tilde{a}_z/(1+|z|)|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\}\precsim e^{M(m|\xi|)}e^{M(m|x|)}$ in the Beurling case and (6.9) and (6.7) imply $\{\tilde{a}_z/(1+|z|)|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\}\precsim e^{N_{k_p}(|\xi|)}e^{N_{k_p}(|x|)}$ in the Roumieu case. Thus, Corollary 3.8 implies that there exist $R_1,R_2>0$ such that

$$\Big\{\mathrm{Op}_{1/2}\big(R_1(\sum_j q_j^{(z)})\big)\tilde{a}_z^w-\mathrm{Id}\big|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\Big\}\quad\text{and}\quad\Big\{\tilde{a}_z^w\mathrm{Op}_{1/2}\big(R_2(\sum_j q_j^{(z)})\big)-\mathrm{Id}\big|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\Big\}$$

are equicontinuous subsets of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (note that $R(\sum_j(1+|z|)q_j^{(z)})=(1+|z|)R(\sum_j q_j^{(z)})$, for $R>0$). By taking $R=\max\{R_1,R_2\}$, we obtain the next result (taking larger R_1 or R_2 yields the same results because of Proposition 3.4).

Proposition 6.6. *There exists $R>0$, which can be taken arbitrary large, such that*

$$\Big\{\mathrm{Op}_{1/2}\big(R(\textstyle\sum_j q_j^{(z)})\big)\tilde{a}_z^w-\mathrm{Id}\big|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\Big\}\quad\textit{and}\quad\Big\{\tilde{a}_z^w\mathrm{Op}_{1/2}\big(R(\textstyle\sum_j q_j^{(z)})\big)-\mathrm{Id}\big|\ z\in\mathbf{P}\Big\}$$

are equicontinuous subsets of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, the estimate (6.10) *holds for $\{\sum_j q_j^{(z)}\}_{z\in\mathbf{P}}$.*

Lemma 6.7. *There exists $R' > 0$ such that for all $R \geq R'$ the following statements hold:*

(*i*) $q_z := R(\sum_j q_j^{(z)}) \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $z \in \mathbf{P}$, *and for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that*

$$|D_w^\alpha q_z(w)| \leq \frac{Ch^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha}{|\tilde{a}_z(w)|\langle w\rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \ z \in \mathbf{P}; \tag{6.15}$$

(*ii*) *the set $\{(1+|z|)q_z^w |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\}$ is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$.*

Proof. The estimate (6.10) implies $\{\sum_j q_j^{(z)} |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\} \precsim \{1/|\tilde{a}_z| |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}; 0)$. Thus, we can apply Proposition 3.3 to obtain the existence of $R' > 0$ such that for each $R \geq R'$, $q_z := R(\sum_j q_j^{(z)}) \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and (6.15) is valid when $w \in Q^c_{Bm_1} = Q^c_B$, for some $B = B(R) > 0$. There exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $q_z(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{j_0}(1 - \chi_{n,R}(w))q_n^{(z)}(w)$, for all $w \in Q_B$, $z \in \mathbf{P}$. Because of (6.10) we can conclude the validity of (6.15) when $w \in Q_B$ as well, and the proof of (*i*) is complete.

Fix $R \geq R'$ and consider $q_z = R(\sum_j q_j^{(z)})$, $z \in \mathbf{P}$. As a direct consequence of (6.15) and (6.8) (resp. (6.9)), we have $\{(1+|z|)q_z |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\} \precsim e^{M(m|\xi|)}e^{M(m|x|)}$ (resp. $\{(1+|z|)q_z |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\} \precsim e^{N_{k_p}(|\xi|)}e^{N_{k_p}(|x|)}$). Hence, Proposition 3.1 proves (*ii*). □

Fix $R > 0$ for which the conclusions in Proposition 6.6 and Lemma 6.7 hold and denote $q_z = R(\sum_j q_j^{(z)}) \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $z \in \mathbf{P}$. Since $\sigma(\overline{\tilde{A}}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} |\, \lambda > 1\}$, it follows that $(z + \overline{\tilde{A}})$ is injective for each $z \in \mathbf{P}$. Hence, the operator $\tilde{a}_z^w : \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is injective, as well. Moreover, for given $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $(z + \overline{\tilde{A}})g = \varphi$ (as $z \in \rho(\overline{\tilde{A}})$), i.e. $\tilde{a}_z^w g = \varphi$. Since $\tilde{a}_z$ is hypoelliptic, it is globally regular and hence $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus $\tilde{a}_z^w$ is a continuous bijection on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As $\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is an (F)-space and $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a (DFS)-space, it follows that $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Pták space (see [23, Sect. IV. 8, p. 162]). The Pták homomorphism theorem [23, Corollary 1, p. 164] implies that $\tilde{a}_z^w$ is topological isomorphism on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for each $z \in \mathbf{P}$.

Clearly, $(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}$ is the restriction of $(z + \overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}$ to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, observe that

$$(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1} = (\mathrm{Id} - q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} - \tilde{a}_z^w q_z^w) + (\mathrm{Id} - q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)q_z^w + q_z^w,$$

as operators on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Proposition 6.6 together with Lemma 6.7 (*ii*) yields that the set $\{(1+|z|)(\mathrm{Id} - q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)q_z^w |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\}$ is equicontinuous $*$-regularising. Proposition 6.6 implies that for each $z \in \mathbf{P}$, the operator $(\mathrm{Id} - q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} - \tilde{a}_z^w q_z^w)$ extends to a $*$-regularising operator. Thus, for each $z \in \mathbf{P}$, $(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}$ extends to a continuous operator on $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $\sigma(\overline{\tilde{A}}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} |\, \lambda > 1\}$ and $\overline{\tilde{A}}$ is self-adjoint, [7, Theorem 1.3.5, p. 21] yields that $\overline{\tilde{A}}$ is sectorial with spectral angle 0, and this in turn yields that for each $0 < \delta \leq 1$ there exists $C_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|(z + \overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}\| \leq C_\delta/|z|, \tag{6.16}$$

for all $z \in \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\} |\, -\pi + \delta \leq \arg\zeta \leq \pi - \delta\}$. Denote the particular constant for which (6.16) holds true on $\mathbf{P}_* = \mathbf{P}\backslash\{0\}$ by $\tilde{C}$. Since $\sigma(\overline{\tilde{A}}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} |\, \lambda > 1\}$, we have $\|(z + \overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}\| \leq C'$, for all $|z| \leq 1$. Now, Proposition 6.6 yields that $\{|z|(\mathrm{Id} - q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} - \tilde{a}_z^w q_z^w) |\, z \in \mathbf{P}\}$ and $\{(\mathrm{Id} - q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} - \tilde{a}_z^w q_z^w) |\, z \in$

$\mathbf{P}\}$ are equicontinuous $*$-regularising and thus, the same holds for $\{(1+|z|)(\mathrm{Id}-q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}-\tilde{a}_z^w q_z^w)|\, z\in\mathbf{P}\}$ as well. Denoting $S_z=(\mathrm{Id}-q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id}-\tilde{a}_z^w q_z^w)+(\mathrm{Id}-q_z^w\tilde{a}_z^w)q_z^w$, we have $(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}=q_z^w+S_z$. These facts, together with Lemma 6.7 (ii), prove the following result.

Lemma 6.8. *The operators $(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}$, $z\in\mathbf{P}$, are continuous on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and they extend to continuous operators on $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The set $\{(1+|z|)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}|\, z\in\mathbf{P}\}$ is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Furthermore, for each $z\in\mathbf{P}$, $(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}$ is exactly the restriction of $(z+\overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}$ to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Consider now the uniformly bounded C_0-semigroup $\tilde{T}(t)=e^{-tc}T(t)$, $t\geq 0$. Clearly, its infinitesimal generator is $-\overline{\tilde{A}}$. Hence, [17, Theorem 5.2 (c), p. 61] proves that $\{\tilde{T}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is analytic (cf. (6.16)) and [17, Theorem 7.7, p. 30] yields

$$\tilde{T}(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Lambda e^{zt}(z+\overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}dz,\ t>0, \tag{6.17}$$

where Λ is a smooth curve in $\{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}|\, -\pi+\delta\leq\arg\zeta\leq\pi-\delta\}$ for any $0<\delta<1$, running from $\infty e^{-i\theta}$ to $\infty e^{i\theta}$ for arbitrary but fixed $\pi/2<\theta<\pi-\delta$ and the integral is absolutely convergent for $t>0$ in $\mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (cf. (6.16)).

Proposition 6.9. *For each $t\geq 0$, $\tilde{T}(t)\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Moreover, the mapping $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)$ belongs to $C^\infty([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ and its derivatives are given by $(d^k/dt^k)\tilde{T}(t)=(-1)^k(\tilde{a}^w)^k\tilde{T}(t)$, $t\geq 0$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Proof. Because of the analyticity of $z\mapsto(z+\overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}$, we can shift the path of integration without changing the value of the integral in (6.17) to the curve $\tilde{\Lambda}=\Lambda_1\cup\Lambda_2\cup\Lambda_3$, where $\Lambda_1=\{re^{-i3\pi/4}|\, 1\leq r<\infty\}$, $\Lambda_2=\{e^{i\theta}|\, -3\pi/4\leq\theta\leq 3\pi/4\}$ and $\Lambda_3=\{re^{i3\pi/4}|\, 1\leq r<\infty\}$. Clearly $\tilde{\Lambda}\subseteq\mathbf{P}_*$. For $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}(t)\varphi &= \frac{1-i}{2\pi}\int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^\infty e^{-rt-irt}(\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi dr+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-3\pi/4}^{3\pi/4}e^{te^{i\theta}}e^{i\theta}(\tilde{a}^w_{e^{i\theta}})^{-1}\varphi d\theta\\ &\quad+\frac{1+i}{2\pi}\int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^\infty e^{-rt+irt}(\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1-i)})^{-1}\varphi dr\\ &= I_1(t,\varphi)+I_2(t,\varphi)+I_3(t,\varphi),\end{aligned}$$

with absolutely convergent integrals for $t>0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (cf. (6.16); recall $(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}\varphi=(z+\overline{\tilde{A}})^{-1}\varphi$, for $z\in\mathbf{P}$, $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$). By the properties of the Bochner integral, for each $g\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\langle g,I_1(t,\varphi)\rangle = \frac{1-i}{2\pi}\int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^\infty e^{-rt-irt}\langle g,(\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi\rangle dr. \tag{6.18}$$

Our immediate goal is to prove $I_1(t,\varphi)\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for each $t>0$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, fix $t>0$ and denote

$$C_t=\int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^\infty e^{-rt}dr>0. \tag{6.19}$$

Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be arbitrary but fixed. By Lemma 6.8, the set $\tilde{H}=\{(1+|z|)(\tilde{a}_z^w)^{-1}|\, z\in\mathbf{P}\}$ is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and hence $B=\{(1+r\sqrt{2})(\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi|\, r\geq 1/\sqrt{2}\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Thus, the absolute polar

of $(C_t/\varepsilon)B$, which we denote by $W = ((C_t/\varepsilon)B)^\circ$, is a neighbourhood of zero in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, employing (6.18) for $g \in W \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$|\langle g, I_1(t,\varphi)\rangle| \;\leq\; \int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} e^{-rt} \left|\langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi\rangle\right| dr \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the mapping $g \mapsto \langle g, I_1(t,\varphi)\rangle$, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{C}$, is continuous when we equip $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the topology induced on it by $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence $g \mapsto \langle g, I_1(t,\varphi)\rangle$ can be continuously extended to a functional on $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. $I_1(t,\varphi) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $g \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. There exist $g_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $g_j \to g$ in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ($L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is sequentially dense in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$). The function $r \mapsto \langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi\rangle$, $[1/\sqrt{2},\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$, is measurable since it is the pointwise limit of the sequence of continuous functions $r \mapsto \langle g_j, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi\rangle$, $[1/\sqrt{2},\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$. Because of the equicontinuity of $\tilde{H}$ and the fact that $\{g_j | \, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can conclude the existence of $C' > 0$ such that $|\langle g_j, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi\rangle| \leq C'$, for all $r \in [1/\sqrt{2},\infty)$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Applying the dominated convergence theorem to (6.18) with g_j in place of g, we can conclude that (6.18) is valid for $g \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Next, we prove that for each $t > 0$, the mapping $\varphi \mapsto I_1(t,\varphi)$, $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, is continuous. Let V be a closed convex circled neighbourhood of zero in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which, without loss of generality, we can assume to be the absolute polar B'° of a bounded set B' in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since

$$\tilde{H}' = \{(1+|z|)\; {}^t((\tilde{a}^w_z)^{-1}) | \, z \in \mathbf{P}\} \tag{6.20}$$

is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (cf. Lemma 6.8 and [15, Theorem 6, p. 138]), the set $B'_1 = \{(1+|z|)\; {}^t((\tilde{a}^w_z)^{-1})g | \, z \in \mathbf{P},\, g \in B'\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence $V_1 = (C_t B'_1)^\circ$ is a neighbourhoods of zero in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (see (6.19) for the definition of C_t). Employing (6.18), one easily verifies $|\langle g, I_1(t,\varphi)\rangle| \leq 1$, for all $\varphi \in V_1$ and $g \in B'$, which proves the desired continuity. Next, we prove that the mapping $t \mapsto I_1(t,\cdot)$ belongs to $C((0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Fix $t_0 > 0$ and let $\delta > 0$ be small enough such that $[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta] \subseteq (0,\infty)$. Consider the following subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$:

$$H_1 = \{\varphi \mapsto I_1(t,\varphi) | \, t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]\}.$$

Employing (6.18) together with the fact that $\tilde{H}'$ is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (see (6.20) for the definition of $\tilde{H}'$), one can easily derive that H_1 is bounded in $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and hence equicontinuous ($\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is barrelled). Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a neighbourhood of zero V in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for which we may assume that it is the absolute polar B'° of a convex circled bounded subset B' of $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $1 \leq C < \infty$ be large enough such that $C \geq \sup\{|\langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_z)^{-1}\varphi\rangle| \, | \, z \in \mathbf{P},\, g \in B'\}$. Then, employing (6.18), we have

$$\sup_{g \in B'} |\langle g, I_1(t,\varphi) - I_1(t_0,\varphi)\rangle| \leq C \int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} e^{-r(t_0-\delta)} \left| e^{-r(t-t_0+\delta)} e^{-irt} - e^{-r\delta} e^{-irt_0} \right| dr,$$

for all $t \in [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$. The dominated convergence theorem implies that there exists $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ such that $I_1(t,\varphi) - I_1(t_0,\varphi) \in B'^\circ = V$, for all $t \in [t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon]$. Hence $I_1(t,\cdot) \to I_1(t_0,\cdot)$, as $t \to t_0$, in $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. As H_1 is equicontinuous, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem [23, Theorem 4.5, p. 85] implies that the convergence holds in the topology of precompact convergence and, as $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is Montel, it also holds in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. This proves the continuity of the mapping $t \mapsto I_1(t,\cdot)$, $(0,\infty) \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

In an analogous fashion one proves that for each $t > 0$, the mappings $\varphi \mapsto I_2(t,\varphi)$ and $\varphi \mapsto I_3(t,\varphi)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and the mappings $t \mapsto I_2(t,\cdot)$ and $t \mapsto I_3(t,\cdot)$, $(0,\infty) \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, are continuous.

Thus, we obtain $\tilde{T}(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for each $t > 0$, and $t \mapsto \tilde{T}(t) \in C((0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Next, we prove the continuity at 0. For each $t > 0$, we shift the path of integration in (6.17) to $\tilde{\Lambda}_t = \tilde{\Lambda}_{1,t} \cup \tilde{\Lambda}_{2,t} \cup \tilde{\Lambda}_{3,t}$, where $\tilde{\Lambda}_{1,t} = \{re^{-i3\pi/4} | \, 1/t \leq r < \infty\}$, $\tilde{\Lambda}_{2,t} = \{t^{-1}e^{i\theta} | \, -3\pi/4 \leq \theta \leq 3\pi/4\}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda}_{3,t} = \{re^{i3\pi/4} | \, 1/t \leq r < \infty\}$. Clearly $\tilde{\Lambda}_t \subseteq \mathbf{P}_*$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}(t)\varphi &= \frac{1-i}{2\pi} \int_{(t\sqrt{2})^{-1}}^{\infty} e^{-rt-irt} (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1} \varphi dr + \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{-3\pi/4}^{3\pi/4} e^{e^{i\theta}} e^{i\theta} (\tilde{a}^w_{t^{-1}e^{i\theta}})^{-1} \varphi d\theta \\
&\quad + \frac{1+i}{2\pi} \int_{(t\sqrt{2})^{-1}}^{\infty} e^{-rt+irt} (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1-i)})^{-1} \varphi dr \\
&= \tilde{I}_1(t,\varphi) + \tilde{I}_2(t,\varphi) + \tilde{I}_3(t,\varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously as above, one establishes that, for each $t > 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one has $\tilde{I}_1(t,\varphi)$, $\tilde{I}_2(t,\varphi)$, $\tilde{I}_3(t,\varphi) \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By similar techniques as in the proof of the validity of (6.18) for $g \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one can prove that for each $g \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle g, \tilde{I}_1(t,\varphi)\rangle &= \frac{1-i}{2\pi} \int_{(t\sqrt{2})^{-1}}^{\infty} e^{-rt-irt} \langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1} \varphi\rangle dr, \\
\langle g, \tilde{I}_2(t,\varphi)\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{-3\pi/4}^{3\pi/4} e^{e^{i\theta}} e^{i\theta} \langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{t^{-1}e^{i\theta}})^{-1} \varphi\rangle d\theta, \\
\langle g, \tilde{I}_3(t,\varphi)\rangle &= \frac{1+i}{2\pi} \int_{(t\sqrt{2})^{-1}}^{\infty} e^{-rt+irt} \langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1-i)})^{-1} \varphi\rangle dr.
\end{aligned}$$

Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a bounded subset B' of $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The equicontinuity of $\tilde{H}'$ (cf. (6.20)) implies the existence of $C' > 0$ such that $|\langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{-r(1+i)})^{-1}\varphi\rangle| \leq C'/(1+r\sqrt{2})$, for all $g \in B'$, $r \in [0,\infty)$, and hence, a change of variables yields

$$|\langle g, \tilde{I}_1(t,\varphi)\rangle| \leq \frac{C'}{\pi} \int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{t + s\sqrt{2}} ds \leq C' \int_{1/\sqrt{2}}^{\infty} e^{-s} ds \leq C',$$

for all $g \in B'$, $t > 0$. Similarly, there exists $C'' > 0$ such that $|\langle g, \tilde{I}_3(t,\varphi)\rangle| \leq C''$, for all $g \in B'$, $t > 0$. Again, the equicontinuity of $\tilde{H}'$ yields the existence of $C''' > 0$ such that $|\langle g, (\tilde{a}^w_{t^{-1}e^{i\theta}})^{-1}\varphi\rangle| \leq C'''/(1+t^{-1})$, for all $g \in B'$, $\theta \in [-3\pi/4, 3\pi/4]$, $t > 0$. Hence

$$|\langle g, \tilde{I}_2(t,\varphi)\rangle| \leq \frac{C'''}{2\pi t} \int_{-3\pi/4}^{3\pi/4} \frac{e^{\cos\theta}}{1+t^{-1}} d\theta \leq 3eC'''/4,$$

for all $g \in B'$, $t > 0$. We conclude that there exists $C > 0$ such that $|\langle g, \tilde{T}(t)\varphi\rangle| \leq C$, for all $g \in B'$, $t > 0$. This proves that $\{\tilde{T}(t) | \, t > 0\}$ is bounded and hence equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Consequently, the same holds for $\{\tilde{T}(t) | \, t \geq 0\}$ (since $\tilde{T}(0) = \mathrm{Id}$). This immediately yields the equicontinuity of $\{\tilde{a}^w \tilde{T}(t) | \, t \geq 0\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Since $\{\tilde{T}(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0-semigroup with infinitesimal generator

$-\overline{\tilde{A}}$, we have

$$\tilde{T}(t)\varphi-\varphi=-\int_0^t \overline{\tilde{A}}\tilde{T}(s)\varphi ds=-\int_0^t \tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(s)\varphi ds,\ \forall\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\ t>0.$$

Employing the equicontinuity of $\{\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t)|\, t\geq 0\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and using similar arguments as in the proof of the validity of (6.18) for $g\in\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, one can prove that for each $g\in\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t>0$ we have

$$\langle g,\tilde{T}(t)\varphi-\varphi\rangle=-\int_0^t\langle g,\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(s)\varphi\rangle ds. \tag{6.21}$$

For fixed $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a bounded subset B' of $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the equicontinuity of $\{\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t)|\, t\geq 0\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ proves the existence of $C>0$ such that $|\langle g,\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t)\varphi\rangle|\leq C$, for all $g\in B'$, $t\geq 0$. Thus, (6.21) yields $|\langle g,\tilde{T}(t)\varphi-\varphi\rangle|\leq Ct$, for all $g\in B'$, $t>0$. Hence, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $0<t<\varepsilon$, $\tilde{T}(t)\varphi-\varphi\in B'^{\circ}$, which proves that $\tilde{T}(t)\to\tilde{T}(0)=\mathrm{Id}$, as $t\to 0^+$, in $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Since $\{\tilde{T}(t)|\, t\geq 0\}$ is equicontinuous in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem [23, Theorem 4.5, p. 85] yields that the convergence holds in the topology of precompact convergence and, as $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is Montel, the convergence also holds in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. This proves that $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)$ belongs to $C([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.

Observe now that for $t>t_0\geq 0$, $g\in\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (6.21) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\langle g,\tilde{T}(t)\varphi-\tilde{T}(t_0)\varphi\rangle}{t-t_0}&+\langle g,\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t_0)\varphi\rangle\\ &=-\frac{1}{t-t_0}\int_{t_0}^t\langle g,\tilde{a}^w(\tilde{T}(s)-\tilde{T}(t_0))\varphi\rangle ds.\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Let B be a bounded subset of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and V a neighbourhood of zero in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consider the neighbourhood of zero $M(B,V)=\{S\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))|\, S(B)\subseteq V\}$ in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We may of course assume V is the absolute polar B'° of a bounded set B' in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $B_1'={}^t(\tilde{a}^w)B'$ is bounded in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and hence its absolute polar $V_1=B_1'^{\circ}$ is a neighbourhood of zero in $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)\in C([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$, there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $t\in[t_0,t_0+\varepsilon]$, we have $\tilde{T}(t)-\tilde{T}(t_0)\in M(B,V_1)$. Thus, (6.22) implies $(t-t_0)^{-1}(\tilde{T}(t)-\tilde{T}(t_0))+\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t_0)\in M(B,V)$, for all $t\in(t_0,t_0+\varepsilon]$, i.e. the right derivative of $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)$, $[0,\infty)\to\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, is $-\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t_0)$. Similarly, the left derivative at $t_0>0$ is $-\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t_0)$. Hence, $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)$, $[0,\infty)\to\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, is differentiable and $(d/dt)\tilde{T}(t)=-\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t)$. As $t\mapsto-\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t)$ is continuous, $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)$ is of class C^1 and now, the equality $(d/dt)\tilde{T}(t)=-\tilde{a}^w\tilde{T}(t)$ readily implies that $t\mapsto\tilde{T}(t)$ is in $C^\infty([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ and $(d^k/dt^k)\tilde{T}(t)=(-1)^k(\tilde{a}^w)^k\tilde{T}(t)$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. □

As a direct consequence of the previous proposition we then have,

Theorem 6.10. *We have $T(t)\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ for each $t\geq 0$. Moreover, the mapping $t\mapsto T(t)$ belongs to $C^\infty([0,\infty);\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d),\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ and $(d^k/dt^k)T(t)=(-1)^k(a^w)^kT(t)$, $t\geq 0$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Since $T(t)$ solves (6.3) with $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(t) = 0$, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{u}(t))^w\varphi - T(t)\varphi = \int_0^t T(t-s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(s)\varphi ds,\ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Theorem 6.10 then implies that for each $t > 0$, the mapping $s \mapsto T(t-s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(s)$ belongs to $C^\infty([0,t]; \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. For $t \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define

$$\mathbf{Q}(t)f = \int_0^t T(t-s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(s)f ds \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Similarly as in the proof of Proposition 6.9, one verifies $\mathbf{Q}(t)f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and, for each $g \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\langle g, \mathbf{Q}(t)f\rangle = \int_0^t \langle g, T(t-s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(s)f\rangle ds. \tag{6.23}$$

Again, employing analogous techniques as in the proof of Proposition 6.9, one can prove $f \mapsto \mathbf{Q}(t)f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, for each $t \geq 0$. Using the properties of $T(t)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(t)$, one readily checks that the mapping $(t,s) \mapsto T(t-s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(s)$, $\{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2 |\, 0 \leq s \leq t\} \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, is continuous. Hence, for each $C > 0$, $\{T(t-s)\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(s) |\, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq C\}$ is an equicontinuous subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Employing this fact together with (6.23) and the semigroup property of $T(t)$, one can prove that $t \mapsto \mathbf{Q}(t)$, $[0,\infty) \to \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$, is continuous. Now, reproducing the proof of [21, Lemma 6.10] verbatim, one gets the following result.

Lemma 6.11. *The mapping $t \mapsto \mathbf{Q}(t)$ belongs to $C^\infty([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$.*

Denoting the Weyl symbol of $\mathbf{Q}(t)$ by $Q(t,w)$, this lemma together with the property of symbols of operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ (cf. [22, Propositions 2 and 3]) imply:

Corollary 6.12. *The mapping $t \mapsto Q(t,\cdot)$ belongs to $C^\infty([0,\infty); \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$.*

Notice that (6.1) together with $a(w)/\ln|w| \to +\infty$, as $|w| \to \infty$, ensures that $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w$ is trace-class for each $t > 0$ (cf. [16, Theorem 4.4.21, p. 190]). Now, Lemma 6.11 ensures that $T(t)$ is also trace-class for $t > 0$. As $T(t)$ are self-adjoint, we conclude $\operatorname{Tr} T(t) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_j}$. Thus,

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_j} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u(t,w)dw - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} Q(t,w)dw,\ t > 0.$$

The second integral is $O(1)$ as $t \to 0^+$ (because of Corollary 6.12). Fix $n > d/\rho$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $u_0(t,w) = e^{-tb(w)}$ and $b(w) = a(w)$ for w outside of a compact neighbourhood of the origin, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^\infty e^{-t\lambda_j} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u_j(t,w)dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\left(u(t,w) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_j(t,w)\right)dw + O(1),\ t \to 0^+.\end{aligned}$$

In view of the second estimate in Lemma 6.2 (specialised for $n = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$), the very last integral is $O(1)$ as $t \to 0^+$. Lemma 6.1 implies that there exists $C' > 0$

such that $|u_j(t,w)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{t}{4}b(w)}\langle w\rangle^{-2\rho}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $t \geq 0$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Using again $b = a$ except in a compact neighbourhood of 0, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{4}a(w)}}{\langle w\rangle^{2\rho}}dw\right) + O(1), \;\; t \to 0^+.$$

We claim

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-ta(w)/4}}{\langle w\rangle^{2\rho}}dw = \infty. \tag{6.24}$$

To verify it, first notice that $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ implies that there are $m, C > 0$ (resp. for every $m > 0$ there exists $C > 0$) such that $a(w) \leq Ce^{M(m|w|)}$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Using this estimate (in the Roumieu case we can take $m = 1$ with the corresponding $C > 0$) and polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-ta(w)/4}}{\langle w\rangle^{2\rho}}dw &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}}\int_0^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{tCe^{M(mr)}}{4}\right)\frac{r^{2d-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\rho}}drd\vartheta \\ &\geq \frac{2\pi^d}{(d-1)!}\int_1^{\infty}\exp\left(-\frac{tCe^{M(mr)}}{4}\right)\frac{r}{1+r^2}dr.\end{aligned}$$

Monotone convergence implies that the very last integral tends to ∞ as $t \to 0^+$. We have shown:

Theorem 6.13. *Let a be a hypoelliptic real-valued symbol in $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $a(w)/\ln|w| \to \infty$ as $|w| \to \infty$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{4}a(w)}}{\langle w\rangle^{2\rho}}dw\right), \;\; t \to 0^+. \tag{6.25}$$

The next remark shows that (6.25) remains valid for hypoelliptic symbols of finite order.

Remark 6.14. Let $a \in \Gamma^m_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a hypoelliptic real-valued symbol such that $a(w) \geq c\langle w\rangle^{\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$, $\forall |w| \geq c$, and consider its heat parametrix $(\mathbf{u}(t))^w = (u(t,\cdot))^w$ as constructed in Remark 6.4 and the C_0-semigroup $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ as given by (6.4). The fact $t \mapsto T(t) \in C^{\infty}([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$ can be proved far more easily in the distributional setting. To verify this, first notice that $(a^w)^j$ is hypoelliptic for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and denote its symbol by $a_j \in \Gamma^{jm}_{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Clearly $|a_j(w)| \geq \langle w\rangle^{\delta j}$ away the origin. For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $t \geq 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have $(a^w)^j T(t)\varphi = T(t)(a^w)^j\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Because of [16, Theorem 2.1.16, p. 76], $T(t)\varphi$ belongs to all Sobolev spaces $H^k_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and thus $T(t)\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, the closed graph theorem yields $T(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, $t \geq 0$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varprojlim_{k\to\infty} H^k_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, in order to prove that $t \mapsto T(t)$ is right continuous at t_0 it is enough to prove that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and bounded subset B of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\|T(t)\varphi - T(t_0)\varphi\|_{H^k_{\Gamma}} \leq \varepsilon$, $\forall t \in (t_0, t_0+\eta)$, $\forall \varphi \in B$. The a priori estimate in [16, Theorem 2.1.16, p. 76] yields that there exist $C > 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|T(t)\varphi - T(t_0)\varphi\|_{H^k_{\Gamma}} \\ &\quad\leq C\|T(t_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}_b(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}(\|(T(t-t_0)-\mathrm{Id})(a^w)^j\varphi\|_{L^2} + \|(T(t-t_0)-\mathrm{Id})\varphi\|_{L^2}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $T(t) \to \mathrm{Id}$ in $\mathcal{L}_p(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem; $\{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a C_0-semigroup) and B and $(a^w)^j(B)$ are precompact in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and hence also in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we obtain that $t \mapsto T(t)$ is right continuous at t_0. Similarly, one proves that it is left continuous. The same a priori estimate proves that the set $H = \{(t - t_0)^{-1}(T(t) - T(t_0))|\, t \in ([t_0 - 1, t_0 + 1]\backslash\{t_0\}) \cap [0, \infty)\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, hence equicontinuous. Again, the same a priori estimate proves $(t - t_0)^{-1}(T(t) - T(t_0)) \to -a^w T(t_0)$ in $\mathcal{L}_\sigma(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and, as H is equicontinuous, the Banach-Steinhaus theorem [23, Theorem 4.5, p. 85] gives the limit in the topology of precompact convergence. As $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is Montel, the limit holds in the strong topology. This immediately yields $t \mapsto T(t) \in C^\infty([0, \infty); \mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)))$. Now one can obtain in the same way as above the validity of Lemma 6.11 and Corollary 6.12 in this case as well (of course, with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in place of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

Using the estimates for $u(t, w)$ and $u_j(t, w)$ given in Remark 6.4, one readily obtains (6.24) and the asymptotic estimate (6.25) from Theorem 6.13 in the finite order case too.

7. The Weyl asymptotic formula for infinite order ΨDOs. Part II: proofs of the main results

We now present the proofs of Theorems 4.1, 4.2, 4.4, and Corollary 4.3. In the sequel, we also use Vinogradov's notation for O-estimates, namely, $g_1(t) \ll g_2(t)$ as an alternative way of writing $g_1(t) = O(g_2(t))$.

We first make some comments that apply to all cases simultaneously. A preliminary observation is that $f(y)/y^\delta \to \infty$ as $y \to \infty$ for any $0 < \delta < \liminf_{y\to\infty} yf'(y)/f(y)$ as follows by integrating (4.13) which holds in the three cases. It then follows from (4.3), (4.8), or (4.12) that $a(w)/|w|^\delta \to \infty$ as $w \to \infty$. Incidentally, this also implies that $f'(y) > 0$ a.e. on $[Y_1, \infty)$, for some large enough $Y_1 \geq Y$ and additionally $f(y) > 1$ on $[Y_1, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $Y_1 = Y > 1$. We conclude that σ is absolutely continuous on every compact interval contained in $[f(Y), \infty)$. We extend σ to $[0, f(Y)]$ as a positive non-decreasing absolutely continuous function with $\sigma(\lambda) = 1$ near $\lambda = 0$. Note also that $\sigma(\lambda) \to \infty$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. We now derive some regular variation properties of σ.

For Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, and Corollary 4.3, we combine (4.2) and (4.7) into

$$\lim_{y\to\infty} \frac{yf'(y)}{f(y)} = \beta \in (0, \infty]. \tag{7.1}$$

Let us verify that (7.1) implies that σ is a Karamata regular varying function [1] with index of regular variation $2d/\beta$ ($= 0$ if $\beta = \infty$), that is, that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\sigma(\alpha\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} = \alpha^{\frac{2d}{\beta}}, \tag{7.2}$$

uniformly for α in compact subsets of $(0, \infty)$. In fact, we have that

$$\eta(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda\sigma'(\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} = 2d\frac{f(f^{-1}(\lambda))}{f^{-1}(\lambda)f'(f^{-1}(\lambda))} \to \frac{2d}{\beta}, \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

and

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \exp\left(\int_0^\lambda \frac{\eta(t)}{t} dt\right)$$

for all λ (note that $\eta(t)$ vanishes for t near 0). This easily yields (7.2).

Similarly, the hypothesis (4.13) and the fact that σ is increasing imply that there are $\nu, C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\sigma(\alpha\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} \leq C_1(\alpha+1)^{\nu}, \quad \forall \alpha, \lambda > 0. \tag{7.3}$$

In fact, we may take any $\nu > 0$ such that $2d/\nu < \beta' = \liminf_{y\to\infty} yf'(y)/f(y)$. For ν in this range, the inequality can be refined for large λ. Indeed, there is $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\nu)$ such that

$$\frac{\sigma(\alpha\lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)} \leq \alpha^{\nu}, \quad \forall \alpha \geq 1,\ \lambda \geq \lambda_0. \tag{7.4}$$

The next starting point is the formula (6.25) from Theorem 6.13, which holds under all our three sets of hypotheses (see Remark 6.14 for the finite order case). As there are only finitely many possibly negative eigenvalues, we obtain (cf. (6.24))

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dN(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw + O\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-ta(w)/4}}{\langle w\rangle^{2\rho}}dw\right), \quad t\to 0^+. \tag{7.5}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed and set

$$C' = \frac{1}{2d}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d}}\,. \tag{7.6}$$

Using polar coordinates and the lower bound from (4.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}}\int_{B_\varepsilon}^{\infty} r^{2d-1}e^{-c_\varepsilon t f((1-\varepsilon)r\Phi(\vartheta))}drd\vartheta + \int_{|w|\leq B_\varepsilon} e^{-ta(w)}dw \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}}\int_{B_\varepsilon}^{\infty} r^{2d-1}e^{-c_\varepsilon t f((1-\varepsilon)r\Phi(\vartheta))}drd\vartheta + O_\varepsilon(1) \\
&= (1-\varepsilon)^{-2d}C'\int_{f(Y)}^{\infty} e^{-c_\varepsilon t\lambda}\sigma'(\lambda)d\lambda + O_\varepsilon(1) \\
&= (1-\varepsilon)^{-2d}C'\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda}\sigma(\lambda/(c_\varepsilon t))d\lambda + O_\varepsilon(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the change of variables $\lambda = f((1-\varepsilon)r\Phi(\vartheta))$ which gives

$$r^{2d-1}\,dr = \frac{1}{2d}\left(\frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon)\Phi(\vartheta)}\right)^{2d}\sigma'(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Since σ is slowly varying (i.e. $\sigma(\alpha\lambda)/\sigma(\lambda)\to 1$ as $\lambda\to\infty$),

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda}\sigma(\lambda/(c_\varepsilon t))d\lambda \sim \sigma\left(1/t\right), \quad t\to 0^+,$$

as follows from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem (the bound (7.3) holds here for every $\nu > 0$ and C_1 depending only on ν). Thus,

$$\limsup_{t\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw \leq (1-\varepsilon)^{-2d}C',$$

because $\sigma(1/t)\to\infty$. But we can now take $\varepsilon\to 0^+$ to conclude

$$\limsup_{t\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw \leq C'.$$

Similarly,

$$\liminf_{t\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)} dw \geq C';$$

therefore,

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)} dw \sim \frac{C'}{(2\pi)^d} \sigma(1/t), \quad t \to 0^+.$$

On the other hand, a small computation along the same lines as the above one shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{e^{-ta(w)/4}}{\langle w \rangle^{2\rho}} dw \ll \sigma(1/t)^{1-\frac{\rho}{d}} = o(\sigma(1/t)). \tag{7.7}$$

Inserting all this into (7.5), we conclude

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} dN(\lambda) \sim \frac{C'}{(2\pi)^d} \sigma\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad t \to 0^+,$$

and (4.4) follows from the well known Karamata Tauberian theorem [1, Theorem 1.7.1, p. 37] (see also [14, Theorem 8.1, p. 193]).

Using (4.4) and employing a classical argument (see e.g. [16, Proposition 4.6.4, p. 198], the same proof works fine in our case), we obtain that

$$\sigma(\lambda_j) \sim \frac{(2\pi)^d}{C'} j, \quad j \to \infty. \tag{7.8}$$

Notice that (4.5) is equivalent to (7.8). Finally, (4.6) follows from (4.5) and

$$\frac{f(\alpha y)}{f(\alpha' y)} = \exp\left(\int_{\alpha' y}^{\alpha y} \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} dt \right) \to \infty, \quad y \to \infty,$$

valid for every $\alpha' < \alpha$ because of (4.2). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ and find B so large that

$$(1-\varepsilon) f(r) \Phi(\vartheta) \leq a(r\vartheta) \leq (1+\varepsilon) f(r) \Phi(\vartheta)$$

for all $\vartheta \in \mathbb{S}^{2d-1}$ and $r > B$. Note that Φ is continuous and thus $\Phi(\vartheta)$ stays on a compact subset of $(0,\infty)$. Using that (7.2) is valid uniformly for α on compact subsets of $(0,\infty)$, we then obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)} dw &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-\varepsilon)t\Phi(\vartheta) f(r)} r^{2d-1} dr d\vartheta + o_\varepsilon(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2d} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{\sigma(\lambda/((1-\varepsilon)\Phi(\vartheta)t))}{\sigma(1/t)} d\vartheta \right) d\lambda + o_\varepsilon(1) \\
&= \frac{\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{2d/\beta} d\lambda}{2d(1-\varepsilon)^{2d/\beta}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}} \right) + o_\varepsilon(1) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{2d}{\beta}\right)}{2d(1-\varepsilon)^{2d/\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}} + o_\varepsilon(1), \quad t \to 0^+.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking first $t \to 0^+$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{t\to 0^+} \frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)} dw \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{2d}{\beta}\right)}{2d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}}.$$

The estimate (7.7) remains valid in this case too. A similar analysis for the limit inferior, together with (7.5) and (7.7), leads to

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} dN(\lambda) \sim \sigma(1/t)\, \frac{\pi \Gamma\left(1+\frac{2d}{\beta}\right)}{(2\pi)^{d+1} d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \frac{d\vartheta}{(\Phi(\vartheta))^{2d/\beta}}, \quad t \to 0^+.$$

We can apply once again the Karamata Tauberian theorem [1, 14] to conclude that (4.9) holds.

The classical argument quoted above in the proof of Theorem 4.1 easily gives

$$\sigma(\lambda_j) \sim j/C, \quad j \to \infty,$$

with $C = d^{-1}(2\pi)^{-d-1}\pi \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} (\Phi(\vartheta))^{-2d/\beta} d\vartheta$. This immediately implies $(j/C)^{\frac{1}{2d}} \sim f^{-1}(\lambda_j)$, as $j \to \infty$. Note that (4.7) yields that f is regularly varying of index β, i.e.,

$$f(\alpha\lambda) \sim \alpha^\beta f(\lambda), \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

uniformly for $\alpha > 0$ on compacts of $(0, \infty)$. Using this,

$$\lambda_j = f((j/C)^{\frac{1}{2d}}(1 + o(1))) \sim C^{-\frac{\beta}{2d}} f(j^{\frac{1}{2d}}),$$

which is (4.10). □

Proof of Corollary 4.3. We only give the proof under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, the proof of this corollary with the hypotheses from Theorem 4.2 is similar and the details are therefore left to the reader. By Theorem 4.1, we only need to show that

$$\int_{a(w)<\lambda} dw \sim C'\sigma(\lambda), \quad \lambda \to \infty,$$

where C' is given by (7.6). We show that

$$\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma(\lambda)} \int_{a(w)<\lambda} dw \leq C';$$

one treats analogously the limit inferior to obtain the desired result and we thus omit the calculation. Fixing $\varepsilon > 0$, using the lower bound from (4.3) (choose $B_\varepsilon > Y$), polar coordinates, and (7.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma(\lambda)} \int_{a(w)<\lambda} dw &\leq \limsup_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma(\lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \int_{\{r|\ B_\varepsilon<r,\ a(r\vartheta)<\lambda\}} r^{2d-1} dr d\vartheta \\
&\leq \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma(\lambda)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}} \int_{B_\varepsilon}^{(1+\varepsilon) f^{-1}(\lambda/c_\varepsilon)/\Phi(\vartheta)} r^{2d-1} dr d\vartheta \\
&= (1+\varepsilon)^{2d} C' \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \frac{\sigma(\lambda/c_\varepsilon)}{\sigma(\lambda)} = (1+\varepsilon)^{2d} C'.
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows by taking $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.4. The lower bound (4.12) still applies to show (7.7). Combining this with the asymptotic estimate (7.5), we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} dN(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)} dw + o(\sigma(1/t)), \quad t \to 0^+. \tag{7.9}$$

When either (4.13) or (4.16) holds, fix $\nu > 2d/\beta'$ and find $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (7.4) holds. For $0 < t \leq 1/\lambda_0$, we deduce from (4.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}}\int_{B\leq r} e^{-Ctf(r)}r^{2d-1}drd\vartheta + O_\nu(1)\\ &= \frac{\pi^d}{d!}\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda}\sigma(\lambda/(Ct))d\lambda + O_\nu(1). \qquad (7.10)\end{aligned}$$

If (4.13) holds, then the monotone increasingness of σ together with (7.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw &\leq \frac{\pi^d}{d!}\int_0^C e^{-\lambda}\sigma(\lambda/(Ct))d\lambda + \frac{\pi^d}{d!}\int_C^\infty e^{-\lambda}\sigma(\lambda/(Ct))d\lambda + O_\nu(1)\\ &\leq \frac{\pi^d}{d!}\sigma(1/t) + \frac{\pi^d}{d!}\sigma(1/t)\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda}{C}\right)^\nu d\lambda + O_\nu(1)\\ &\leq \frac{\pi^d}{d!}\sigma(1/t)\left(1+\frac{\Gamma(1+\nu)}{C^\nu}\right) + O_\nu(1).\end{aligned}$$

Using (7.9) and keeping still $t \leq 1/\lambda_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}N(1/t) - N(0) &= \int_0^{1/t} dN(\lambda) \leq e\int_0^{1/t} e^{-t\lambda}dN(\lambda) \leq e\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda}dN(\lambda)\\ &\leq \frac{e}{2^d d!}\left(1+\frac{\Gamma(1+\nu)}{C^\nu}\right)\sigma(1/t)(1+o_\nu(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Dividing through by $\sigma(1/t)$, taking the limit superior as $t \to 0^+$, and letting then $\nu \to 2d/\beta'$, we obtain the estimate (4.14). The lower bound (4.15) easily follows by inserting $\lambda = \lambda_j$ in (4.14) and the fact $N(\lambda_j) \geq j$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. If (4.16) holds, we divide (7.10) by $\sigma(1/t)$ and take the limit superior as $t \to 0^+$. Because of (7.2) we have

$$\limsup_{t\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\sigma(1/t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-ta(w)}dw \leq \frac{\pi^d\Gamma(1+2d/\beta')}{d!C^{2d/\beta'}}.$$

Now, the same technique as before yields the rest of the assertions of the theorem. □

8. Infinite order Sobolev spaces and the Fredholm properties

We start this section by defining a useful notion of ellipticity for our symbol classes.

Definition 8.1. A positive continuous function f on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ will be called $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible if both f and $1/f$ are of ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$ and there exists a symbol $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ which is hypoelliptic and satisfies: there exist $c, C, B > 0$ such that

$$cf(w) \leq |a(w)| \leq Cf(w), \text{ for all } w \in Q_B^c. \qquad (8.1)$$

In this case, we say that a is an $f - \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic symbol.

When a is $f - \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic, if there is no danger of confusion we will often abbreviate terminology and simply call it f-elliptic.

Note also that if $\tilde{f}(w) = f(|w|\Phi(w/|w|))$ is such that f is a function of one-variable that satisfies the condition (4.2) from Theorem 4.1, Φ is a positive continuous function on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}$, and the symbol a is real-valued $\tilde{f}$-elliptic and

positive outside of a compact neighbourhood of the origin, then the spectral counting function of its associated Weyl operator has asymptotics (4.4); if $\Phi(\vartheta) = 1$, then $N(\lambda) \sim \sigma(\lambda)/(2^d d!)$, where as usual σ is given by (4.1).

The next two remarks discuss some examples of $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible functions.

Remark 8.2. Let f be positive, continuous and temperate, i.e. there exist $C, s > 0$ such that $f(w + \tilde{w}) \leq Cf(w)\langle \tilde{w}\rangle^s$, $\forall w, \tilde{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$; notice that this automatically implies that f is bounded from below by $\langle w\rangle^{-s}$ and from above by $\langle w\rangle^s$. Assume that f additionally satisfies the following slow variation condition: there exist $c, C > 0$ such that $|w - \tilde{w}| \leq c\langle \tilde{w}\rangle^\rho$ implies $f(\tilde{w})/C \leq f(w) \leq Cf(\tilde{w})$. Then f is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible. The proof that there exists $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ that is f-elliptic is the same as in the distributional setting (see [16, Section 1.3.2, p. 38]; the only difference is that one has to use cut-off functions from $\mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in the Beurling case and from $\mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in the Roumieu case). It is important to stress that a is of finite order and hence a^w also acts continuously on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 8.3. Let $1 \geq \rho_1 > \rho$ and $m \geq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $a \in \Gamma^m_{\rho_1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be elliptic in $\Gamma^m_{\rho_1}$-sense and positive, i.e. $c_1\langle w\rangle^m \leq a(w) \leq c_2\langle w\rangle^m$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Assume that a satisfies the following condition: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha a(w)| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha a(w)\langle w\rangle^{-\rho_1|\alpha|},\ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}.$$

Let $s \geq 1/(\rho_1 - \rho)$ be such that $M_p \subset p!^s$ in the Beurling case and $M_p \prec p!^s$ in the Roumieu case, respectively. Then [21, Remark 6.1] yields that $e^{a(w)^{1/(sm)}}$ and $e^{-a(w)^{1/(sm)}}$ are $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $e^{a(w)^{1/(sm)}}$ is $e^{a(w)^{1/(sm)}} - \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic and $e^{-a(w)^{1/(sm)}}$ is $e^{-a(w)^{1/(sm)}} - \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. In particular, as $|D^\alpha\langle w\rangle| \leq 2^{|\alpha|+1}|\alpha|!\langle w\rangle^{1-|\alpha|}$, we conclude that $e^{r\langle w\rangle^{1/s}}$ is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible for each $r \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ and $s \geq 1/(1-\rho)$ such that $M_p \subset p!^s$ (resp. $M_p \prec p!^s$); furthermore, $e^{r\langle w\rangle^{1/s}}$ is $e^{r\langle w\rangle^{1/s}} - \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. Similarly, for such s and r, $e^{r|w|^{1/s}}$ is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible. In order to generate an $e^{r|w|^{1/s}}$-elliptic symbol, take $a(w) = r^{2s}|w|^2$ and modify it near the origin to be positive; of course, one has to use cut-off functions from $\mathcal{D}^{(A_p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in the Beurling case and from $\mathcal{D}^{\{A_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in the Roumieu case, respectively.

Let us prove some basic properties of $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible functions.

Lemma 8.4. *If f and g are $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible, then so are $1/f$ and fg.*

Proof. If a is f-elliptic then its parametrix $q \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ constructed in Remark 3.16 is $1/f$-elliptic because of (3.6) and (3.7). If a is f-elliptic and b is g-elliptic then $ab \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is fg-elliptic. □

Lemma 8.5. *If f is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible then so is f^r for any $r \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.*

Proof. Because of Lemma 8.4, it is enough to prove the claim for $0 < r < 1$. Fix such r. Let $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be f-elliptic. Then $|b|^2$ is f^2-elliptic. Modify $|b|^2$ near the origin so to be positive on the whole $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ and denote this symbol by $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then, a is f^2-elliptic. For $s > 1$, we can apply the second estimate in [21, Remark 6.1] to obtain that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$)

such that

$$|D^\alpha a(w)^{1/s}| \leq Ch^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha a(w)^{1/s}\langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|},\ w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}.$$

By taking $s=2/r>1$, this estimate readily yields the desired conclusion. □

Let a be f-elliptic and $q\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be the parametrix of a constructed in Remark 3.16. Then $q^wa^w=\mathrm{Id}+T$, where T is $*$-regularising. We now introduce our new class of Sobolev spaces.

Definition 8.6. Let a be f-elliptic and T be the $*$-regularising operator $q^wa^w-\mathrm{Id}$ where $q\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is the parametrix of a defined as above. We define

$$H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)=\{u\in\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)|\, a^wu\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

endowed with norm $\|u\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)}=\|a^wu\|_{L^2}+\|Tu\|_{L^2}$.

Remark 8.7. An easy preliminary observation is that one obtains an equivalent norm to the one given in Definition 8.6 if one chooses any other parametrix for a^w. To be precise, let $\tilde{q}\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be a symbol such that $\tilde{T}=\tilde{q}^wa^w-\mathrm{Id}$ is $*$-regularising. Then the norm $\|u\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)}$ on $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is equivalent to $\|a^wu\|_{L^2}+\|\tilde{T}u\|_{L^2}$. To see this, notice that $\|\tilde{T}u\|_{L^2}\leq\|\tilde{T}q^wa^wu\|_{L^2}+\|\tilde{T}Tu\|_{L^2}$. As $\tilde{T}q^w$ and $\tilde{T}$ are $*$-regularising, hence continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have $\|\tilde{T}u\|_{L^2}\leq C'(\|a^wu\|_{L^2}+\|Tu\|_{L^2})$. Analogously, $\|Tu\|_{L^2}\leq C''(\|a^wu\|_{L^2}+\|\tilde{T}u\|_{L^2})$.

Remark 8.8. Let a, q and T be as in Definition 8.6. Let a_1 be any f-elliptic symbol with the corresponding parametrix q_1^w as constructed in Remark 3.16 and a $*$-regularising operator T_1 such that $q_1^wa_1^w=\mathrm{Id}+T_1$. Then, Theorem 3.7 *i*) yields $\{a\#q_1,q_1\#a,a_1\#q,q\#a_1\}\precsim 1$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0)$. Hence, Corollary 3.8 proves $a^wq_1^w,q_1^wa^w,a_1^wq^w,q^wa_1^w\in\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (cf. Lemma 3.11). More generally, let $b_j\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $j=1,\dots,n$, such that for every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $h,C>0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha b_j(w)|\leq Ch^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha g_j(w)\langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|},\ w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d},j=1,\dots,n,$$

where g_j are positive continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ of ultrapolynomial growth of class $*$ (not necessarily $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible). Theorem 3.7 *i*) gives $\{b_{j_1}\#\dots\#b_{j_l}\}\precsim\prod_{k=1}^l g_{j_k}$ in $FS^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};0)$, for $\{j_1,\dots,j_l\}\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}$. Thus, repeated use of Corollary 3.8 shows the existence of $b\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $b_1^w\circ\dots\circ b_n^w-b^w$ is $*$-regularising and b satisfies the following condition: for every $h>0$ there exists $C>0$ (resp. there exist $h,C>0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha b(w)|\leq Ch^{|\alpha|}A_\alpha g_1(w)\cdot\dots\cdot g_n(w)\langle w\rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|},\ w\in\mathbb{R}^{2d},\ \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{2d}.$$

Using Remarks 8.7 and 8.8, one can prove the following properties of $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ in the same manner as in the distributional setting. We simply outline the arguments.

(*i*) Let a_1 be any other f-elliptic symbol with $q_1\in\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ being its parametrix constructed in Remark 3.16 and $T_1=q_1^wa_1^w-\mathrm{Id}$ the corresponding $*$-regularising operator. Then the space $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ defined by a_1 is the same as the one defined by a and the norm $\|a_1^wu\|_{L^2}+\|T_1u\|_{L^2}$ is equivalent to the one defined by a^w and T. (The proof relies on Remark 8.8 and is the same as that of [16, Proposition 1.5.3 (a), p. 42]). Employing Remark 8.7,

we conclude that the space $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ and the topology induced on it by the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)}$ do not depend on the particular choice of a, its parametrix q and the resulting $*$-regularising operator $T = q^w a^w - \mathrm{Id}$.

More generally, let b be an f-elliptic symbol and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{q}_1 \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be the symbol of any left τ-parametrix of $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)$, i.e. $T_1 = \mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q}_1)\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b) - \mathrm{Id}$ is $*$-regularising; because of Remark 3.17 we can use $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q}_1)$ as a right parametrix of $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)$ as well. For the moment, let $\tilde{H} = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d) | \, \mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ with norm $\|u\|_{\tilde{H}} = \|\mathrm{Op}_\tau(b)u\|_{L^2} + \|T_1 u\|_{L^2}$. Then $\tilde{H}$ is topologically isomorphic to $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$. To verify this, take the symbol $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ constructed out of b as in Remark 3.17. Then $T_2 = \mathrm{Op}_\tau(b) - a^w$ is $*$-regularising and a is f-elliptic. For this a let $q \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be the parametrix as constructed in Remark 3.16 and denote $T = q^w a^w - \mathrm{Id} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$; because of Remark 3.15, we can use q^w as a right parametrix of a^w as well. Define the space $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ with a^w and the norm on it by $\|u\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} = \|a^w u\|_{L^2} + \|Tu\|_{L^2}$. Since $T_2 = \mathrm{Op}_\tau(b) - a^w$, $\tilde{H}$ and $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ are algebraically isomorphic. To prove that the isomorphism is also topological, notice that $T_2 = \mathrm{Op}_\tau(b) - a^w$ implies that $\mathrm{Op}_\tau(\tilde{q}_1) - q^w$ is $*$-regularising. Now, by similar technique as in the proof of [16, Proposition 1.5.3 (a), p. 42] we can conclude that the norms $\|u\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)}$ and $\|u\|_{\tilde{H}}$ are equivalent. Thus, $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ does not depend on the quantisation as either.

(*ii*) The space $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ becomes a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(u, v)_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} = (a^w u, a^w v)_{L^2} + (Tu, Tv)_{L^2}$$

which induces an equivalent norm to the one introduced in Definition 8.6. (The proof is the same as that of [16, Proposition 1.5.3 (b), p. 42]).

(*iii*) The space $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously and densely injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ and $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is continuously and densely injected into $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (The proof is the same as the one of [16, Proposition 1.5.4, p. 43]).

(*iv*) Let f and g be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be such that for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha b(w)| \leq C h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha g(w) \langle w \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|}, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}.$$

Then, b^w defines a continuous operator from $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f/g)$ (f/g is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible because of Lemma 8.4). If g_1 is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $g(w)g_1(w)/f(w) \to 0$ as $|w| \to \infty$, then b^w defines a compact operator from $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g_1)$. (The proof relies on Remark 8.8 and is the same as the proof of [16, Proposition 1.5.5, p. 43]. For the compactness, one additionally has to use a result on compactness on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, for example [24, Section 24.4, p. 192], or to derive one by similar technique as in Remark 3.16). In particular, if $f(w) \geq c g(w)$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, then $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is continuously injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ and, if $g(w)/f(w) \to 0$ as $|w| \to \infty$, then the inclusion $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f) \to H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ is compact (to prove this just take $b(w) = 1$, $w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$).

(*v*) (Global regularity and a priori estimates) Let f, g, g_1 be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ hypoelliptic. Assume there exist $c, B > 0$ such that

$cg(w) \leq |b(w)|$, $\forall w \in Q_B^c$. If $u \in \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $b^w u \in H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f/g)$, then $u \in H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$. Furthermore, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} \leq C_1(\|b^w\varphi\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f/g)} + \|\varphi\|_{H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g_1)}),\ \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(The proof is the same as that of [16, Proposition 1.5.8, p. 44]; use the parametrix for b as constructed in Remark 3.16).

(*vi*) (Duality) Let f be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $\tilde{f}(x,\xi) = f(x,-\xi)$, $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Clearly, $\tilde{f}$ is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible (if a is f-elliptic then $a(x,-\xi)$ is $\tilde{f}$-elliptic). The bilinear mapping

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)\psi(x)dx,\ \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{C},$$

extends to a continuous bilinear mapping $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f) \times H^*_{A_p,\rho}(1/\tilde{f}) \to \mathbb{C}$. The strong dual of $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is isomorphic to $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(1/\tilde{f})$ and the duality is given by this bilinear mapping. (The proof is the same as that of [16, Proposition 1.5.9, p. 44].) Clearly, this duality is compatible with $\langle \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)\rangle$. In particular, if $f(x,-\xi) = f(x,\xi)$ then the strong dual of $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is isomorphic to $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(1/f)$.

(*vii*) If f is temperate and slowly varying, then it is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible by Remark 8.2. The classical (distributional) Sobolev space defined by f is isomorphic with $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ of Definition 8.6 (cf. Remark 8.2). In particular, if g is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and for every $s > 0$ there exists $C_s > 0$ such that $\langle w\rangle^s \leq C_s g(w)$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, then (iv) implies $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Similarly, if for every $s > 0$ there exists $C_s > 0$ such that $\langle w\rangle^{-s} \geq C_s g(w)$, then $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$.

(*viii*) Let f be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $a \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $f - \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. Let $\tilde{M}_p$ satisfies $(M.1)$, $(M.2)$, $(M.3)$, $\tilde{M}_0 = 1$ and $M_p \subset \tilde{M}_p$; clearly, $\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $A_p \subset \tilde{M}_p^\rho$, we can also consider the symbol classes $\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\Gamma^{\{\tilde{M}_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$; clearly, $\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\Gamma^{\{\tilde{M}_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ are continuously injected into the spaces $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ respectively. If f and $1/f$ are of ultrapolynomial growth of class $(\tilde{M}_p)$ (resp. of class $\{\tilde{M}_p\}$), then $a \in \Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (resp. $a \in \Gamma^{\{\tilde{M}_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$) and, in fact, f is $\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and a is $f - \Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic (resp. f is $\Gamma^{\{\tilde{M}_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and a is $f - \Gamma^{\{\tilde{M}_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic). Similarly, the parametrix q constructed in Remark 3.16 is additionally $1/f - \Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic (resp. $1/f - \Gamma^{\{\tilde{M}_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic). It is easy to see that $H^{(M_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is topologically isomorphic to $H^{(\tilde{M}_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ (resp. $H^{\{M_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is topologically isomorphic to $H^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$). In particular, $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^{(M_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^{\{M_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

If $M_p \prec \tilde{M}_p$, then $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume that f is $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $a \in \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $f - \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. If f and $1/f$ are of

ultrapolynomial growth of class $(\tilde{M}_p)$, then $a \in \Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap \Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and, in fact, f is both $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible with a being both $f-\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic and $f-\Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. Similarly, the parametrix q constructed in Remark 3.16 (in $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ sense) is both $1/f - \Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic and $1/f - \Gamma^{(\tilde{M}_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. In this case, one can easily verify that $H^{\{M_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$, $H^{(\tilde{M}_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ and $H^{(M_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ are topologically isomorphic among each other. Hence, $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^{\{M_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(*ix*) Let f be $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and $a \in \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ an $f - \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic symbol. If f and $1/f$ are of ultrapolynomial growth of class $\{M_p\}$, then $a \in \Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap \Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and, in fact, f is $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible with a being $f-\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. Similarly, the parametrix q constructed in Remark 3.16 (in $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$ sense) is additionally $1/f - \Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-elliptic. In this case, it is easy to show that $H^{(M_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is topologically isomorphic to $H^{\{M_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ and, in particular, $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^{(M_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(*x*) (Fredholmness) Let f and g be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and a be g-elliptic. Then $a^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} : H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f) \to H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f/g)$ is a Fredholm operator. Moreover

$$\operatorname{ind} a^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} = \dim \operatorname{Ker} a^w - \dim \operatorname{Ker} (a^w)^* = \dim \operatorname{Ker} a^w - \dim \operatorname{Ker} {}^t(a^w),$$

where $(a^w)^*$ is the formal adjoint (which is in fact equal to $\bar{a}^w$) and ${}^t(a^w)$ is the transpose (which is in fact equal to $\operatorname{Op}_{1/2}(a(x,-\xi))$). (The proof is the same as that of [16, Theorem 1.6.9, p. 51]; cf. Remark 3.15.) In particular, the index of a does not depend on the particular choice of f. If g_1 is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible such that g_1/g tends to zero at infinity and $b \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ satisfies the following estimate: for every $h > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ (resp. there exist $h, C > 0$) such that

$$|D^\alpha b(w)| \leq C h^{|\alpha|} A_\alpha g_1(w) \langle w \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|}, \ w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d},$$

then (*iv*) proves that $b^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} : H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f) \to H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f/g)$ is compact; hence, $a^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} + b^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} : H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f) \to H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f/g)$ is Fredholm and $\operatorname{ind}(a^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} + b^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)}) = \operatorname{ind} a^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)}$.

We supplement (*vii*) with the following result on "ultra-regularity".

Proposition 8.9. *Let $s > 1/(1-\rho)$ be such that $M_p \subset p!^s$ in the Beurling case and $M_p \prec p!^s$ in the Roumieu case, respectively, and assume that $\tilde{M}_p$ satisfies $(M.1)$, $(M.2)$, $(M.3)$, $\tilde{M}_0 = 1$, $p!^s \subset \tilde{M}_p$ and $\tilde{M}_p/p!^s$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, is monotonically increasing.*

(*a*) *Let g be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and suppose there are $l, c > 0$ such that*

$$g(w) \geq c e^{\tilde{M}(l|w|)}, \ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}. \tag{8.2}$$

Then $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(*b*) *If g is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and satisfies (8.2) for every $l > 0$ and the corresponding $c = c(l) > 0$, then $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Let $a(w) = |w|^2$. Hence, $H = a^w$ is the harmonic oscillator. Let $1 \leq \mu_0 \leq \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \dots$ be the eigenvalues of H arranged in an ascending order with multiplicities taken into account and let ψ_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be the corresponding Hermite function. We treat (a) and (b) simultaneously. For (b), let $l' > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed and, for (a), let $l' \geq 4/l^2$, where l is the constant in (8.2). Put $\widehat{M}_n = l'^n \tilde{M}_{2n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. One easily verifies that $\widehat{M}_n$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 5.4 with the given $s > 1/(1-\rho)$ and $m = 2$ ((5.5) holds true because $\tilde{M}_p/p!^s$ is monotonically increasing). Let $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $P(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n/\widehat{M}_n$. Because of Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.5, the function $f(w) = P(|w|^2)$ is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible with $b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ being f-elliptic; furthermore, the series $b^w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H^n/\widehat{M}_n$ absolutely converges in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and in $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Corollary 5.7 implies that the spectrum of the closure of b^w in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by its eigenvalues $\{P(\mu_j) |\, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with multiplicities taken into account. Because of (vii), $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. One easily verifies that there exists $C' > 0$ such that $g(w) \geq C' f(w)$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, and thus (iv) implies that b^w maps $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ continuously into $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Fix $u \in H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ and denote $c_j = (u, \psi_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \psi_j$ and the series absolutely converges in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and hence in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as well. Moreover, $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \ni b^w u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j P(\mu_j)\psi_j$, and consequently $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 (P(\mu_j))^2 < \infty$. By Theorem 5.6, $P(\mu_j) = P(2(jd!)^{1/d}(1 + o(1)))$; thus, there is a constant $c' > 0$ such that $P(\mu_j) \geq c' P(j^{1/d})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |c_j|^2 (P(j^{1/d}))^2 < \infty$. Let $\tilde{H} \geq 1$ be the constant from the condition $(M.2)$ on $\tilde{M}_p$. One easily verifies that there exists $c'' > 0$, which depends only on $\tilde{M}_p$, such that $P(\lambda^2) \geq c'' e^{2\tilde{M}(\lambda/(\tilde{H}\sqrt{l'}))} \geq c'' e^{\tilde{M}(\lambda/(\tilde{H}\sqrt{l'}))}$, $\lambda \geq 0$. Hence,

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |c_j| \exp\left(\tilde{M}\left(j^{1/(2d)}/(\tilde{H}\sqrt{l'})\right)\right) < \infty. \tag{8.3}$$

For (a), this estimate together with [25, Theorem 4.1] automatically implies $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For (b), l' was arbitrary, hence this estimate together with the quoted result implies $u \in \mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As the canonical inclusion $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuous, it has a closed graph in $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and, by what we just proved, its graph is in fact closed in $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g) \times \mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for (a) and in $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g) \times \mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for (b). Now, the Pták closed graph theorem [23, Theorem 8.5, p. 166] proves the desired continuity ($\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are Pták spaces since every (F) and every (DFS)-space is Pták space; see [23, Section 8, p. 162]). □

Remark 8.10. Proposition 8.9 is applicable when $\tilde{M}_p = p!^s$. In this case (a) gives that $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}^{\{s\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and (b) yields that $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ is continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We have the following consequence of Proposition 8.9.

Corollary 8.11. *Let s and $\tilde{M}_p$ be as in Proposition 8.9. Let g be $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible. If $1/g$ satisfies the assumption in Proposition 8.9 (a), then $\mathcal{S}'^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$. If $1/g$ satisfies the assumption in Proposition 8.9 (b), then $\mathcal{S}'^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$.*

Proof. Assume first that $1/g$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 8.9 (a) with some $c, l > 0$. Put $\widehat{M}_n = 2^n l^{-2n} \tilde{M}_{2n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to verify that $\widehat{M}_n$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.4 with the given s and $m = 2$ ((5.5) follows from the fact that $\tilde{M}_p/p!^s$ is monotonically increasing). Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.5 imply that the function $f : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \to [1, \infty)$, $f(w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |w|^{2n}/\widehat{M}_n$ is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible with $b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ being f-elliptic where, again, we took $a(w) = |w|^2$. If we denote by $\tilde{H} \geq 1$ the constant from the condition $(M.2)$ on $\tilde{M}_p$, one can readily check that there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ such that

$$c_1/g(w) \geq c_2 e^{\tilde{M}(l|w|)} \geq f(w) \geq c_3 e^{2\tilde{M}(l|w|/(\tilde{H}\sqrt{2}))} \geq c_3 e^{\tilde{M}(l|w|/(\tilde{H}\sqrt{2}))}.$$

Thus, $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(1/f)$ is continuously injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$ and f satisfies the condition from Proposition 8.9 (a). Hence, $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ is continuously (and densely) injected into $\mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and, by duality (cf. (vi)), we derive that $\mathcal{S}'^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(1/f)$. This ends the proof of the first part.

Assume now that $1/g$ satisfies the assumptions from Proposition 8.9 (b). For each $l > 0$, we define a sequence of positive numbers $\widehat{M}^{(l)}_n = l^{-2n}\tilde{M}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $\widehat{M}^{(l)}_n$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.4 with the given s and $m = 2$. Hence, Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.5 imply that the functions $f_l : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \to [1, \infty)$, $f_l(w) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |w|^{2n}/\widehat{M}^{(l)}_n$ are $\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible, for each $l > 0$. There exist $c', c'' > 0$ such that

$$(8.4)\quad c' e^{\tilde{M}(l\sqrt{2}|w|)} \geq f_l(w) \geq c'' e^{2\tilde{M}(l|w|/\tilde{H})} \geq c'' e^{\tilde{M}(l|w|/\tilde{H})},\ \forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \forall l > 0.$$

Since $M_p \prec \tilde{M}_p$ in the Roumieu case, (8.4) and the last part of $(viii)$ imply that f_l are also $\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible (in fact, $H^{(M_p)}_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$ and $H^{\{M_p\}}_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$ are topologically isomorphic for each $l > 0$ by $(viii)$). Furthermore, if $l \leq l'$ then $f_l(w) \leq f_{l'}(w)$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$. Thus, $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_{l'})$ is continuously (and densely) injected into $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$. The proof of the second part relies on the following lemma.

Lemma 8.12. *For each $l > 0$, $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is topologically isomorphic to $\varprojlim_{l\to\infty} H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$, where the linking mappings in the projective limit are the canonical inclusions.*

Let $u \in \mathcal{S}'^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Lemma 8.12 and the definition of the projective limit topology, there exists $l > 0$ such that u can be continuously extended to a functional on $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$. Applying (vi), we conclude $u \in H^*_{A_p,\rho}(1/f_l)$. Because of (8.4) and the condition on $1/g$, we derive $u \in H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$, i.e. $\mathcal{S}'^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subseteq H^*_{A_p,\rho}(g)$. Since both space are continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the continuity of this inclusion follows from the Pták closed graph theorem [23, Theorem 8.5, p. 166] in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 8.9.

Proof of Lemma 8.12. Denote $\varprojlim_{l\to\infty} H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$ by E. As the projective limit is equivalent to a countable one, E is an (F)-space. Since $M_p \subset p!^s$ in the Beurling case and $M_p \prec p!^s$ in the Roumieu case respectively, (8.4) together with $(viii)$ yields that $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$, for each $l > 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is continuously injected into E. Because of (vii), E is continuously injected into $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $u \in E$,

i.e. $u \in H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f_l)$, $\forall l > 0$. Let $u = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \psi_j$, where $c_j = (u, \psi_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and ψ_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are the Hermite functions; the series absolutely converges in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Because of (8.4), we can apply the same technique as in the proof of Proposition 8.9 to obtain

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |c_j| \exp\left(\tilde{M}(lj^{1/(2d)}/\tilde{H})\right) < \infty, \ \forall l > 0.$$

Hence, [25, Theorem 4.1] proves that $u \in \mathcal{S}^{(\tilde{M}_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, the open mapping theorem for (F)-spaces completes the proof of the claim. □

As a direct consequence of Lemma 8.12 and (8.4) together with Remark 8.3, we have the following result.

Corollary 8.13. *Let $s > 1/(1-\rho)$ is such that $M_p \subset p!^s$ in the Beurling case and $M_p \prec p!^s$ in the Roumieu case respectively. Then $\mathcal{S}^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow H^*_{A_p,\rho}(e^{l|w|^{1/s}})$ and $\mathcal{S}^{(s)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is topologically isomorphic to $\varprojlim_{l\to\infty} H^*_{A_p,\rho}(e^{l|w|^{1/s}})$, where the linking mappings in the projective limit are the canonical inclusions.*

We end this section with the following illustrative application of the Fredholmness (see (x)) and the regularity result given in Proposition 8.9. Let s and $\tilde{M}_p$ be as in Proposition 8.9. For $l' > 0$ fixed, set $\widehat{M}_n = l'^{-2n}\tilde{M}_{2n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we consider again $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $P(\lambda) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n/\widehat{M}_n$, the symbol $a(w) = |w|^2$ of the harmonic oscillator H, and the hypoelliptic symbol $b = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n/\widehat{M}_n \in \Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ whose Weyl quantisation is $b^w = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H^n/\widehat{M}_n$ (cf. Theorem 5.4 and Corollary 5.5). Once more, we set $f(w) = P(|w|^2)$ so that f is $\Gamma^{*,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}$-admissible and b is f-elliptic. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $b - \lambda$ is also f-elliptic, and hence, $\operatorname{Ker}(b^w - \lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By (x), $b^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} - \lambda : H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is Fredholm and, since b is real-valued, $\operatorname{ind}(b^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} - \lambda) = 0$. If $\lambda_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(2\alpha_j + 1)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, denote the eigenvalues of H, then, because of Corollary 5.7, for any $\lambda \neq P(\lambda_\alpha)$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we have $\operatorname{Ker}(b^w - \lambda) = \{0\}$ and hence $b^w_{|H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)} - \lambda$ is topological isomorphism between $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As a consequence, for each $v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the equation $(b^w - \lambda)u = v$ is uniquely solvable in $\mathcal{S}'^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and the solution must belong to $H^*_{A_p,\rho}(f)$ (this is particulary applicable with $\lambda = 0$ since $P(\lambda_\alpha) > 1$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$). Using Proposition 8.9, we can give qualitative information concerning its smoothness. Since f satisfies (8.2) with some $c, l > 0$, we conclude that the solution u must belong to $\mathcal{S}^{\{\tilde{M}_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $\lambda = P(\lambda_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, then (because of Corollary 5.7) the solutions of the equation $(b^w - P(\lambda_\alpha))u = 0$ are exactly all the functions that belong to the eigenspace of H that corresponds to λ_α (which is a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

References

[1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[2] M. Cappiello, *Pseudodifferential parametrices of infinite order for SG-hyperbolic problems*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 61 4 (2003), 411–441.

[3] M. Cappiello, *Fourier integral operators of infinite order and applications to SG-hyperbolic equations*, Tsukuba J. Math. 28 (2004), 311–361.

[4] M. Cappiello, S. Pilipović and B. Prangoski, *Parametrices and hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators on spaces of tempered ultradistributions*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 5(4) (2014), 491–506
[5] M. Cappiello, S. Pilipović and B. Prangoski, *Semilinear pseudodifferential equations in spaces of tempered ultradistributions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 442(1) (2016), 317–338.
[6] R. Carmichael, A. Kamiński and S. Pilipović, *Boundary Values and Convolution in Ultradistribution Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2007.
[7] C. M. Carracedo and M. S. Alix, *The theory of fractional powers of operators*, Elsevier Amsterdam, 2001.
[8] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipovic, L. Rodino, *Classes of degenerate elliptic operators in Gelfand-Shilov spaces*, New developments in pseudo-differential operators, 15–31, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 189, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009.
[9] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipovic, L. Rodino, *Eigenfunction expansions in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 139 (2011), 4361–4368.
[10] B. Helffer, D Robert, *Calcul fonctionnel par la transformation de Mellin et opérateurs admissibles*, J. Funct. Anal., 53 (1983), 246–268.
[11] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 20(1) (1973), 25–105.
[12] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, II: The kernel theorem and ultradistributions with support in a submanifold*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 24(3) (1977), 607–628.
[13] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, III: Vector valued ultradistributions and the theory of kernels*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 29(3) (1982), 653–717.
[14] J. Korevaar, *Tauberian theory. A century of developments,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
[15] G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1979.
[16] F. Nicola and L. Rodino, *Global psedo-differential calculus on Euclidean spaces*, Birkhäuser Basel, 2010.
[17] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
[18] H.-J. Petzsche, *On E. Borel's theorem,* Math. Ann., 282 (1988), 299–313.
[19] S. Pilipović, *Tempered ultradistributions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 2(2) (1988), 235–251.
[20] S. Pilipović and B. Prangoski, *Anti-Wick and Weyl quantization on ultradistribution spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 103(2) (2015), 472–503.
[21] S. Pilipović and B. Prangoski, *Complex powers for a class of infinite order hypoelliptic operators*, preprint, arXiv:1609.03728.
[22] B. Prangoski, *Pseudodifferential operators of infinite order in spaces of tempered ultradistributions*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 4(4) (2013), 495–549.
[23] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York Heidelberg Berlin, 1970.
[24] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
[25] Đ. Vučković and J. Vindas, *Eigenfunction expansions of ultradifferentiable functions and ultradistributions in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 7(4) (2016), 519–531.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
E-mail address: stevan.pilipovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs

Department for Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering-Skopje, Karposh 2 b.b., 1000 Skopje, Macedonia
E-mail address: bprangoski@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Ghent University, Krijgslaan 281, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
E-mail address: jasson.vindas@UGent.be